arXiv:1609.06824v1 [math.QA] 22 Sep 2016

Convex PBW-type Lyndon Bases and Restricted Two-Parameter Quantum Group of Type F_4

Xiaoyu Chen[†,a,b], Naihong Hu[⋆,a], and Xiuling Wang [*]

Abstract. We determine convex PBW-type Lyndon bases for two-parameter quantum groups $U_{r,s}(F_4)$ with detailed commutation relations. We construct a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra $\mathfrak{u}_{r,s}(F_4)$, as a quotient of $U_{r,s}(F_4)$ by a Hopf ideal generated by certain central elements, which is pointed, and of a Drinfel'd double structure under a certain condition. All of Hopf isomorphisms of $\mathfrak{u}_{r,s}(F_4)$ are determined which are important for seeking the possible new pointed objects in low order with $(\ell, 210) \neq 1$. Finally, necessary and sufficient conditions for $\mathfrak{u}_{r,s}(F_4)$ to be a ribbon Hopf algebra are singled out by describing the left and right integrals.

1. Introduction

In the past fifteen years, a systematic study of the two-parameter quantum groups has been going on, see, for instance, [**BW1, BW2**] for type A, [**BGH1, BGH2, BH, HS**] for other finite types except type F_4; for a unified definition, see [**HP**]; [**HRZ, HZ1, HZ2, GHZ**] for the affine types; [**BW3, HW1, HW2**] for the restricted two-parameter quantum groups for some of finite types. In the present paper, we continue to treat with the same questions for type F_4 in the roots of unity case. We give the explicit description of PBW-type Lyndon bases of two-parameter quantum group of type F_4 and study the restricted two-parameter quantum group $\mathfrak{u}_{r,s}(F_4)$. Since, in the cases of non-simply-laced Dynkin diagrams, much complexity in combinatorics of Lyndon bases for type F_4 causes more inconvenience than those for types B and G_2, our techniques required here are somewhat more subtle than those in [**HW1, HW2**].

We survey some previous related work. The constructions of convex PBW-type Lyndon bases in the two-parameter quantum groups have been given for types A in [**BW3**], types E in [**BH**], for type G_2 in [**HW1**], and for types B in [**HW2**]. When studying the convex PBW-type Lyndon bases of the two-parameter quantum groups and the restricted two-parameter quantum groups, we need use more complicated

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B37, 81R50; Secondary 17B35.

Key words and phrases. Convex PBW-type Lyndon basis; restricted 2-parameter quantum groups; integrals; ribbon Hopf algebra.

[†]Xiaoyu Chen, supported by the NNSF of China (Grant No. 11501546).

[⋆]Naihong Hu, supported by the NNSF of China (Grant No. 11271131).

[*]Xiuling Wang, corresponding author, supported by the NNSF of China (Grant No. 11271131).

combinatorics than those in one-parameter. The nice bases for the corresponding nonrestricted quantum groups at generic case are important to the studies of restricted (or small) quantum groups as finite-dimensional Hopf algebras, even to the liftings of small quantum groups in the classification work of finite-dimensional pointed Hopf algebras due to Andruskiewitsch-Schneider ([**AS2**]). Good Lyndon words and Lyndon bases have been described by Lalonde-Ram for semisimple Lie algebras and their universal enveloping algebras [**LR**]. Combinatorial constructions of quantum PBW-type Lyndon bases depend not only on a fixed choice of a convex ordering on a positive root system which is given by the longest element of (finite) Weyl group, but also on the inserting manner of the **q**-bracketings with suitable structure constants into good Lyndon words (also see [**K**] for types $ABCD$). Lyndon bases have been also applied in the classification of finite-dimensional pointed Hopf algebras or Nichols algebras of diagonal type. Leclerc [**L**] defined the "co-standard factorization" of a Lyndon word which is different but equivalent to that in [**LR, Ro**] (while the case for type F_4 wasn't treated in [**L**]). We make an inductive definition of quantum root vectors for F_4 by the co-standard factorization of good Lyndon words, which is different from the construction by the standard factorization of good Lyndon words for types ABG. Like one-parameter quantum groups in [**LS**], we provide more explicit commutator expressions among these quantum root vectors, which are crucial later on.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we begin with the definition of two-parameter quantum group of type F_4, and give an explicit description of PBW type Lyndon bases. In Section 3, we compute some important basic commutation relations among quantum root vectors and then derive a unified description of all commutation relations. These give rise to central elements of degree ℓ in the case when parameters r, s are roots of unity, which generate a Hopf ideal. The quotient is the restricted two-parameter quantum group $\mathfrak{u}_{r,s}(F_4)$ in Section 4. In Section 5, we show that these Hopf algebras are pointed, and determine all Hopf isomorphisms of $\mathfrak{u}_{r,s}(F_4)$. Section 6 is to prove that $\mathfrak{u}_{r,s}(F_4)$ is of Drinfel'd double under a certain condition. In Section 7, the left and right integrals of $\mathfrak{b}$ are singled out based on the discussion in Section 3, which are applied in Section 8 to determine the necessary and sufficient conditions for $\mathfrak{u}_{r,s}(F_4)$ being ribbon.

2. $U_{r,s}(F_4)$ and its convex PBW-type Lyndon basis

2.1. According to the unified definition of two-parameter quantum groups in [**HP**] using the Euler form, we can write down the defining relations of two-parameter quantum group of type F_4.

Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}(r,s)$ be a subfield of $\mathbb{C}$, where $r, s \in \mathbb{C}^*$ with assumptions $r^2 \neq s^2, r^3 \neq s^3$. Let Φ be a root system of type F_4 with Π a base of simple roots, which is a finite subset of euclidian space $E = \mathbb{R}^4$. Let $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, \epsilon_4$ denote an orthonormal basis of E, then $\Pi = \{\alpha_1 = \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3, \alpha_2 = \epsilon_3 - \epsilon_4, \alpha_3 = \epsilon_4, \alpha_4 = \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3 - \epsilon_4)\}$, $\Phi = \{\pm\epsilon_i, \pm\epsilon_i \pm \epsilon_j, \frac{1}{2}(\pm\epsilon_1 \pm \epsilon_2 \pm \epsilon_3 \pm \epsilon_4)\}$. Let $r_1 = r_2 = r^2, r_3 = r_4 = r, s_1 = s_2 = s^2, s_3 = s_4 = s$. Write the matrix of structural constants as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} r^2s^{-2} & s^2 & 1 & 1 \\ r^{-2} & r^2s^{-2} & s^2 & 1 \\ 1 & r^{-2} & rs^{-1} & s \\ 1 & 1 & r^{-1} & rs^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = (a_{ij})_{4\times 4}.$$

DEFINITION 2.1. Let $U = U_{r,s}(F_4)$ be the associative algebra over $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}(r,s)$ generated by $E_i, F_i, \omega_i^{\pm 1}, \omega_i'^{\pm 1} (1 \le i, j \le 4)$ subject to relations $(F1)$—$(F6)$:

$(F1)$ All $\omega_i^{\pm 1}, \omega_j'^{\pm 1}$'s commute with one another and $\omega_i \omega_i^{-1} = 1 = \omega_j' \omega_j'^{-1}$.

$$(F2) \qquad \omega_i E_j \omega_i^{-1} = a_{ij} E_j, \quad \omega_i F_j \omega_i^{-1} = a_{ij}^{-1} F_j.$$

$$(F3) \qquad \omega_i' E_j \omega_i'^{-1} = a_{ji}^{-1} E_j, \quad \omega_i' F_j \omega_i'^{-1} = a_{ji} F_j.$$

$$(F4) \qquad [\, E_i, F_j \,] = \delta_{ij} \frac{\omega_i - \omega_i'}{r_i - s_i}.$$

$(F5)$ The following (r, s)-Serre relations hold:

$$(F5)_1 \qquad E_1^2 E_2 - (r^2 + s^2) E_1 E_2 E_1 + r^2 s^2 E_2 E_1^2 = 0,$$

$$(F5)_2 \qquad E_2^2 E_1 - (r^{-2} + s^{-2}) E_2 E_1 E_2 + r^{-2} s^{-2} E_1 E_2^2 = 0,$$

$$(F5)_3 \qquad E_2^2 E_3 - (r^2 + s^2) E_2 E_3 E_2 + r^2 s^2 E_3 E_2^2 = 0,$$

$$(F5)_4 \qquad \begin{aligned} &E_3^3 E_2 - (r^{-2} + r^{-1}s^{-1} + s^{-2}) E_3^2 E_2 E_3 \\ &\quad + r^{-1} s^{-1} (r^{-2} + r^{-1}s^{-1} + s^{-2}) E_3 E_2 E_3^2 - r^{-3} s^{-3} E_2 E_3^3 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$(F5)_5 \qquad E_3^2 E_4 - (r + s) E_3 E_4 E_3 + rs E_4 E_3^2 = 0,$$

$$(F5)_6 \qquad E_4^2 E_3 - (r^{-1} + s^{-1}) E_4 E_3 E_4 + r^{-1} s^{-1} E_3 E_4^2 = 0.$$

$(F6)$ The following (r, s)-Serre relations hold:

$$(F6)_1 \qquad F_2 F_1^2 - (r^2 + s^2) F_1 F_2 F_1 + r^2 s^2 F_1^2 F_2 = 0,$$

$$(F6)_2 \qquad F_1 F_2^2 - (r^{-2} + s^{-2}) F_2 F_1 F_2 + r^{-2} s^{-2} F_2^2 F_1 = 0,$$

$$(F6)_3 \qquad F_3 F_2^2 - (r^2 + s^2) F_2 F_3 F_2 + r^2 s^2 F_2^2 F_3 = 0,$$

$$(F6)_4 \qquad \begin{aligned} &F_2 F_3^3 - (r^{-2} + r^{-1}s^{-1} + s^{-2}) F_3 F_2 F_3^2 \\ &\quad + r^{-1} s^{-1} (r^{-2} + r^{-1}s^{-1} + s^{-2}) F_3^2 F_2 F_3 - r^{-3} s^{-3} F_3^3 F_2 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$(F6)_5 \qquad F_4 F_3^2 - (r + s) F_3 F_4 F_3 + rs F_3^2 F_4 = 0,$$

$$(F6)_6 \qquad F_3 F_4^2 - (r^{-1} + s^{-1}) F_4 F_3 F_4 + r^{-1} s^{-1} F_4^2 F_3 = 0.$$

The algebra $U_{r,s}(F_4)$ is a Hopf algebra, where all $\omega_i^{\pm 1}, \omega_i'^{\pm 1}$'s are group-like elements, and the remaining Hopf structure is given by

$$\begin{gathered} \Delta(E_i) = E_i \otimes 1 + \omega_i \otimes E_i, \quad \Delta(F_i) = 1 \otimes F_i + F_i \otimes \omega_i', \\ \varepsilon(\omega_i^{\pm 1}) = \varepsilon(\omega_i')^{\pm 1} = 1, \quad \varepsilon(E_i) = \varepsilon(F_i) = 0, \\ S(\omega_i^{\pm 1}) = \omega_i^{\mp 1}, \qquad S(\omega_i'^{\pm 1}) = \omega_i'^{\mp 1}, \\ S(E_i) = -\omega_i^{-1} E_i, \qquad S(F_i) = -F_i\, \omega_i'^{-1}. \end{gathered}$$

When $r = q = s^{-1}$, the Hopf algebra $U_{r,s}(F_4)$ modulo the Hopf ideal generated by $\omega_i' - \omega_i^{-1} (1 \leqslant i \leqslant 4)$, is just the quantum group $U_q(F_4)$ of Drinfel'd-Jimbo type.

In any Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}$, there exist the left-adjoint and the right-adjoint action defined by its Hopf algebra structure as follows

$$\mathrm{ad}_l\, a\,(b) = \sum_{(a)} a_{(1)}\, b\, S(a_{(2)}), \qquad \mathrm{ad}_r\, a\,(b) = \sum_{(a)} S(a_{(1)})\, b\, a_{(2)},$$

where $\Delta(a) = \sum_{(a)} a_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)} \in \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$, for any $a, b \in \mathcal{H}$.

From the viewpoint of adjoint actions, the (r,s)-Serre relations $(F5)$, $(F6)$ take the simplest forms:

$$\big(\mathrm{ad}_l\, E_i\big)^{1-c_{ij}}(E_j)=0, \qquad \textit{for any}\ \ i\neq j,$$
$$\big(\mathrm{ad}_r\, F_i\big)^{1-c_{ij}}(F_j)=0, \qquad \textit{for any}\ \ i\neq j,$$

where $C=(c_{ij})_{4\times 4}$ is the Cartan matrix of type F_4.

2.2. U has a triangular decomposition $U\cong U^-\otimes U^0\otimes U^+$, where U^0 is the subalgebra generated by $\omega_i^{\pm 1},{\omega'_i}^{\pm 1}$, and U^+ (resp. U^-) is the subalgebra generated by E_i (resp. F_i). Let $\mathcal{B}$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}'$) denote the Hopf subalgebra of U generated by $E_j,\omega_j^{\pm 1}$ (resp. $F_j,{\omega'_j}^{\pm 1}$) with $1\le j\le 4$.

Proposition 2.2. *There exists a unique skew-dual pairing $\langle\,,\rangle:\mathcal{B}'\times\mathcal{B}\to\mathbb{K}$ of the Hopf subalgebras $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B}'$ such that*

$$\langle F_i,\,E_j\rangle=\delta_{ij}\frac{1}{s_i-r_i},$$
$$\langle \omega'_i,\,\omega_j\rangle=a_{ji},$$
$$\langle {\omega'}_i^{\pm 1},\omega_j^{-1}\rangle=\langle {\omega'}_i^{\pm 1},\omega_j\rangle^{-1}=\langle \omega'_i,\omega_j\rangle^{\mp 1},$$

for $1\le i,j\le 4$ and all other pairs of generators are 0. Moreover, we have $\langle S(a),\,S(b)\rangle=\langle a,\,b\rangle$ for $a\in\mathcal{B}', b\in\mathcal{B}$.

2.3. Recall that a reduced expression of the longest element of Weyl group W for type F_4 (see [**CX**]) taken as

$$w_0=s_1s_2s_3s_2s_1s_4s_3s_2s_3s_4s_1s_2s_3s_2s_1s_2s_3s_2s_4s_3s_2s_4s_3s_4$$

yields a convex ordering of positive roots. Write the positive root system $\Phi^+=\{\beta_1,\beta_2,\cdots,\beta_{24}\}$ with the positive root β_i given in Table I. We will work with this fixed choice of a convex ordering on Φ^+, which our constructions will implicitly depend on.

Note that the above ordering also corresponds to the standard Lyndon tree of type F_4 (see [**LR**]):



We can make an inductive definition of the quantum root vectors E_{β_i} in U^+. Write $E_{\beta_i}=E_i$ $(1\leqslant i\leqslant 4)$ if β_i is a simple root. Let $\gamma\in\Phi^+$ (not a simple root), define a minimal pair for γ to be a pair (α,β) of positive roots such that $\gamma=\alpha+\beta$, $\alpha<\gamma<\beta$ and there is no pair of positive roots (α',β') such that $\gamma=\alpha'+\beta'$ and

Table I: Positive roots with the convex ordering and quantum root vectors

order	positive quantum root vectors	positive roots	subscripts of std. Lyndon words u_i	$h(\beta_i)$	(M)=minimal pair (L)=Lyndon pair
1	E_1	$\beta_1=\alpha_1$	$u_1=1$	1	*simple*
2	E_{12}	$\beta_2=\alpha_1+\alpha_2$	$u_2=12=1\ 2$	2	$\beta_2=\beta_1+\beta_{16}$ (M)
3	E_{123}	$\beta_3=\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3$	$u_3=123=12\ 3$ $=1\ 23$	3	$\beta_3=\beta_2+\beta_{22}$ (M) $=\beta_1+\beta_{17}$ (L)
4	E_{1233}	$\beta_4=\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3$	$u_4=1233=123\ 3$ $=1\ 233$	4	$\beta_4=\beta_3+\beta_{22}$ (M) $=\beta_1+\beta_{18}$ (L)
5	E_{12332}	$\beta_5=\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3$	$u_5=12332=1233\ 2$	5	$\beta_5=\beta_4+\beta_{16}$ (M) $=\beta_3+\beta_{17}$ $=\beta_2+\beta_{18}$
6	E_{1234}	$\beta_6=\alpha_1+\alpha_2$ $+\alpha_3+\alpha_4$	$u_6=1234=123\ 4$ $=1\ 234$ $=12\ 34$	4	$\beta_6=\beta_3+\beta_{24}$ (M) $=\beta_2+\beta_{23}$ (L) $=\beta_1+\beta_{19}$ (L)
7	E_{12343}	$\beta_7=\alpha_1+\alpha_2$ $+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4$	$u_7=12343=1234\ 3$ $=1\ 2343$	5	$\beta_7=\beta_6+\beta_{22}$ (M) $=\beta_4+\beta_{24}$ $=\beta_3+\beta_{23}$ $=\beta_1+\beta_{20}$ (L)
8	$E_{12343123432}$	$\beta_8=2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2$ $+4\alpha_3+2\alpha_4$	$u_8=12343123432$ $=12343\ 123432$	11	$\beta_8=\beta_7+\beta_9$ (M) $=\beta_6+\beta_{10}$ $=\beta_5+\beta_{11}$ $=\beta_4+\beta_{12}$ $=\beta_3+\beta_{13}$ $=\beta_2+\beta_{14}$ $=\beta_1+\beta_{15}$
9	E_{123432}	$\beta_9=\alpha_1+2\alpha_2$ $+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4$	$u_9=123432=12343\ 2$	6	$\beta_9=\beta_7+\beta_{16}$ (M) $=\beta_6+\beta_{17}$ $=\beta_5+\beta_{24}$ $=\beta_3+\beta_{19}$ $=\beta_2+\beta_{20}$
10	$E_{1234323}$	$\beta_{10}=\alpha_1+2\alpha_2$ $+3\alpha_3+\alpha_4$	$u_{10}=1234323$ $=123432\ 3$	7	$\beta_{10}=\beta_9+\beta_{22}$ (M) $=\beta_7+\beta_{17}$ (L) $=\beta_6+\beta_{18}$ $=\beta_5+\beta_{23}$ $=\beta_4+\beta_{19}$ $=\beta_3+\beta_{20}$
11	E_{123434}	$\beta_{11}=\alpha_1+\alpha_2$ $+2\alpha_3+2\alpha_4$	$u_{11}=123434=12343\ 4$ $=1234\ 34$ $=1\ 23434$	6	$\beta_{11}=\beta_7+\beta_{24}$ (M) $=\beta_6+\beta_{23}$ (L) $=\beta_1+\beta_{21}$ (L)
12	$E_{1234342}$	$\beta_{12}=\alpha_1+2\alpha_2$ $+2\alpha_3+2\alpha_4$	$u_{12}=1234342$ $=123434\ 2$	7	$\beta_{12}=\beta_{11}+\beta_{16}$ (M) $=\beta_9+\beta_{24}$ (L) $=\beta_6+\beta_{19}$
13	$E_{12343423}$	$\beta_{13}=\alpha_1+2\alpha_2$ $+3\alpha_3+2\alpha_4$	$u_{13}=12343423$ $=1234342\ 3$	8	$\beta_{13}=\beta_{12}+\beta_{22}$ (M) $=\beta_{11}+\beta_{17}$ (L) $=\beta_{10}+\beta_{24}$ $=\beta_9+\beta_{23}$ $=\beta_7+\beta_{19}$ $=\beta_6+\beta_{20}$
14	$E_{123434233}$	$\beta_{14}=\alpha_1+2\alpha_2$ $+4\alpha_3+2\alpha_4$	$u_{14}=123434233$ $=12343423\ 3$ $=123434\ 233$	9	$\beta_{14}=\beta_{13}+\beta_{22}$ (M) $=\beta_{11}+\beta_{18}$ (L) $=\beta_{10}+\beta_{23}$ $=\beta_7+\beta_{20}$ $=\beta_4+\beta_{21}$
15	$E_{1234342332}$	$\beta_{15}=\alpha_1+3\alpha_2$ $+4\alpha_3+2\alpha_4$	$u_{15}=1234342332$ $=123434233\ 2$	10	$\beta_{15}=\beta_{14}+\beta_{16}$ (M) $=\beta_{13}+\beta_{17}$ $=\beta_{12}+\beta_{18}$ $=\beta_{10}+\beta_{19}$ $=\beta_9+\beta_{20}$ $=\beta_5+\beta_{21}$
16	E_2	$\beta_{16}=\alpha_2$	$u_{16}=2$	1	*simple*
17	E_{23}	$\beta_{17}=\alpha_2+\alpha_3$	$u_{17}=23=2\ 3$	2	$\beta_{17}=\beta_{16}+\beta_{22}$ (M)
18	E_{233}	$\beta_{18}=\alpha_2+2\alpha_3$	$u_{18}=233=23\ 3$	3	$\beta_{18}=\beta_{17}+\beta_{22}$ (M)
19	E_{234}	$\beta_{19}=\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4$	$u_{19}=234=23\ 4$ $=2\ 34$	3	$\beta_{19}=\beta_{17}+\beta_{24}$ (M) $=\beta_{16}+\beta_{23}$ (L)
20	E_{2343}	$\beta_{20}=\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4$	$u_{20}=2343=234\ 3$	4	$\beta_{20}=\beta_{19}+\beta_{22}$ (M) $=\beta_{18}+\beta_{24}$ $=\beta_{17}+\beta_{23}$
21	E_{23434}	$\beta_{21}=\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+2\alpha_4$	$u_{21}=23434=2343\ 4$ $=234\ 34$	5	$\beta_{21}=\beta_{20}+\beta_{24}$ (M) $=\beta_{19}+\beta_{23}$ (L)
22	E_3	$\beta_{22}=\alpha_3$	$u_{22}=3$	1	*simple*
23	E_{34}	$\beta_{23}=\alpha_3+\alpha_4$	$u_{23}=34=3\ 4$	2	$\beta_{23}=\beta_{22}+\beta_{24}$ (M)
24	E_4	$\beta_{24}=\alpha_4$	$u_{24}=4$	1	*simple*

$\alpha < \alpha' < \gamma < \beta'$. As for how to get the quantum root vector E_γ in U^+, we have to add (r,s)-bracketings on each standard Lyndon word obeying the defining rule as $E_\gamma := [E_\alpha, E_\beta]_{\langle \omega'_\beta, \omega_\alpha\rangle} = E_\alpha E_\beta - \langle \omega'_\beta, \omega_\alpha\rangle E_\beta E_\alpha$ for $\alpha, \gamma, \beta \in \Phi^+$ with minimal pair (α, β) for γ. Briefly, we denote these positive quantum root vectors with the above ordering as in Table I. Note that the first decomposition for each positive root in Table I is a minimal pair. It will be checked in Lemma 3.1 below that the (r,s)-brackets for each standard Lyndon words is independent of the choice of the Lyndon decomposition. In particular, we have $E_{\beta_k} = [E_{\beta_i}, E_{\beta_j}]_{\langle \omega'_{\beta_j}, \omega_{\beta_i}\rangle}$ if the subscripts Lyndon word associated to β_k decomposes into the co-standard factorization $u_k = u_i u_j$.

For examples, $E_{\beta_2} = E_{12} = E_1E_2 - \langle \omega'_{\alpha_2}, \omega_{\alpha_1}\rangle E_2E_1 = E_1E_2 - a_{12}E_2E_1 = E_1E_2 - s^2E_2E_1$, where root β_2 has the only decomposition $\beta_2 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$; while $E_{\beta_{20}} = E_{2343} = E_{234}E_3 - \langle \omega'_{\alpha_3}, \omega_{\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4}\rangle E_3E_{234} = E_{234}E_3 - a_{23}a_{33}a_{43}E_3E_{234} = E_{234}E_3 - sE_3E_{234}$, where the root decomposition $\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4 = (\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4)+\alpha_3$, corresponding to the co-standard factorization of Lyndon words, i.e., 234 3. We find that β_{20} has the other two decompositions $\beta_{20} = (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3) + (\alpha_3 + \alpha_4) = (\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3) + \alpha_4$, which result in more complicated relations among quantum root vectors $E_{2343}, E_{23}, E_{34}, E_{233}, E_4$.

According to [**K, Ro, L**], we have

THEOREM 2.3. $\left\{ E_{\beta_{24}}^{n_{24}} E_{\beta_{23}}^{n_{23}} \cdots E_{\beta_1}^{n_1} \,\middle|\, \beta_1 < \beta_2 < \cdots < \beta_{23} < \beta_{24} \in \Phi^+, n_i \in N \right\}$ *forms a convex PBW-type Lyndon basis of the algebra* U^+. □

DEFINITION 2.4. Let τ be the $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra anti-automorphism of $U_{r,s}(F_4)$ such that $\tau(r) = s$, $\tau(s) = r$, $\tau(\langle \omega'_i, \omega_j\rangle^{\pm 1}) = \langle \omega'_j, \omega_i\rangle^{\mp 1}$, and

$$\tau(E_i) = F_i, \quad \tau(F_i) = E_i, \quad \tau(\omega_i) = \omega'_i, \quad \tau(\omega'_i) = \omega_i.$$

Then $\mathcal{B}' = \tau(\mathcal{B})$ with those induced defining relations from $\mathcal{B}$, and those cross relations in (F2)—(F4) are antisymmetric with respect to τ. □

Using τ to U^+, we get those negative quantum root vectors in U^-: F_1, F_{12}, F_{123}, $F_{1233}, F_{12332}, F_{1234}, F_{12343}, F_{12343123432}, F_{123432}, F_{1234323}, F_{123434}, F_{1234342}, F_{12343423}$, $F_{123434233}, F_{1234342332}, F_2, F_{23}, F_{233}, F_{234}, F_{2343}, F_{23434}, F_3, F_{34}, F_4$. We then have the following

COROLLARY 2.5. $\left\{ F_{\beta_1}^{n_1} F_{\beta_2}^{n_2} \cdots F_{\beta_{24}}^{n_{24}} \,\middle|\, \beta_1 < \beta_2 < \cdots < \beta_{24} \in \Phi^+, n_i \in N \right\}$ *forms a convex PBW-type basis of the algebra* U^-. □

3. Commutation relations and homogeneous central elements

3.1. Some formulas. Let $Q^+ = \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbb{Z}^+\alpha_i$, for each $\zeta = \sum_{i=1}^4 \zeta_i\alpha_i \in Q^+$, denote

$$U_\zeta^+ = \{\, e \in U^+ \mid \omega_\eta e \omega_\eta^{-1} = \langle \omega'_\zeta, \omega_\eta\rangle e, \quad \omega'_\eta e \omega'^{-1}_\eta = \langle \omega'_\eta, \omega_\zeta\rangle^{-1} e, \quad \forall\, \eta \in \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i \,\}.$$

For each $x \in U_\zeta^+, y \in U_\eta^+$, we defined $[x,y]_{p_{xy}} = xy - p_{xy}yx$, where $p_{xy} = \langle \omega'_\eta, \omega_\zeta\rangle$ in subsection 2.3. We shall write $[x,y]_{p_{xy}}$ simply as $[x,y]$ in this paper.

We extend the above operation to U^+ by natural linear extension. According to [**K**], we have the following generalized Jacobi identity and Leibniz rules, which will be applied in the calculations of commutation relations.

$$(J)\qquad \begin{aligned}[[x,y]_{p_{xy}},z]_{p_{xz}p_{yz}} &= [x,[y,z]_{p_{yz}}]_{p_{xy}p_{xz}} + p_{zy}^{-1}[[x,z]_{p_{xz}},y]_{p_{xy}p_{zy}}\\ &\quad + (p_{yz}-p_{zy}^{-1})[x,z]_{p_{xz}}y,\end{aligned}$$

$$(L)\qquad \begin{aligned}[x,yz]_{p_{xy}p_{xz}} &= [x,y]_{p_{xy}}z + p_{xy}y[x,z]_{p_{xz}},\\ [xy,z]_{p_{xz}p_{yz}} &= p_{yz}[x,z]_{p_{xz}}y + x[y,z]_{p_{yz}}.\end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 3.1. *The (r,s)-brackets for each standard Lyndon words is independent of the choice of the Lyndon decomposition.*

Proof. It is enough to check this for $E_{\beta_3}=E_{123}$, $E_{\beta_4}=E_{1233}$, $E_{\beta_6}=E_{1234}$, $E_{\beta_7}=E_{12343}$, $E_{\beta_{11}}=E_{123434}$, $E_{\beta_{14}}=E_{123434233}$, $E_{\beta_{19}}=E_{234}$, $E_{\beta_{21}}=E_{23434}$, since the others are either simple or have unique Lyndon decomposition.

For E_{β_3}, we have to show that $[E_1,E_{23}]=[E_{12},E_3]$. We have $[E_{12},E_3]=[[E_1,E_2],E_3]=[E_1,[E_2,E_3]]=[E_1,E_{23}]$, this is clear by $[E_1,E_3]=0$ and (J).

For E_{β_4}, we have to show $[E_{123},E_3]=[E_1,E_{233}]$. By the statement for E_{β_3}, we have

$$[E_{123},E_3]=[[E_1,E_{23}],E_3]=[E_1,[E_{23},E_3]]=[E_1,E_{233}]$$

by $[E_1,E_3]=0$ and (J).

For $E_{\beta_{19}}$, we have $[E_{23},E_4]=[E_2,E_{34}]$ similarly by $[E_2,E_4]=0$ and (J).

For E_{β_6}, we have to show $[E_{123},E_4]=[E_{12},E_{34}]=[E_1,E_{234}]$. By the construction of E_{β_3}, we have $[E_{123},E_4]=[[E_{12},E_3],E_4]=[E_{12},E_{34}]$, since $[E_{12},E_4]=0$ and (J). By the construction of $E_{\beta_{19}}$, we have $[E_{12},E_{34}]=[E_1,[E_2,E_{34}]]=[E_1,E_{234}]$, since $[E_1,E_{34}]=0$ and (J).

E_{β_7}, we have to show that $[E_{1234},E_3]=[E_1,E_{2343}]$. By the construction of E_{β_6}, we have $[E_{1234},E_3]=[[E_1,E_{234}],E_3]=[E_1,[E_{234},E_3]]$, since $[E_1,E_3]=0$ and (J).

For $E_{\beta_{21}}$, we have to show $[E_{2343},E_4]=[E_{234},E_{34}]$. By (J), it is enough to show that $[E_{234},E_4]=0$. In fact, $[E_{34},E_4]=0$ by Serre relation (F5), hence $[E_{234},E_4]=[E_2,[E_{34},E_4]]=0$ by (J).

For $E_{\beta_{11}}$, we have to show that $[E_{12343},E_4]=[E_{1234},E_{34}]=[E_1,E_{23434}]$. To show the first equality, it is enough to show that $[E_{1234},E_4]=0$ by (J) and the construction of E_{β_7}. By (J), we have $[E_{1234},E_4]=[[E_1,E_{234}],E_4]=[E_1,[E_{234},E_4]]=0$, since $[E_{234},E_4]=0$, which is already proved. By the construction of E_{β_7} and $E_{\beta_{21}}$, we have $[E_{12343},E_4]=[[E_1,E_{2343}],E_4]=[E_1,[E_{2343},E_4]]=[E_1,E_{23434}]$, since $[E_1,E_4]=0$.

For $E_{\beta_{14}}$, we have to show that $[E_{12343423},E_3]=[E_{123434},E_{233}]$. By (J) and $[E_1,E_3]=0$, it is enough to show that $[E_{123434},E_3]=0$. By the statement for $E_{\beta_{11}}$ and (J), we have $[E_{123434},E_3]=[[E_1,E_{23434}],E_3]=[E_1,[E_{23434},E_3]]$. To prove our claim, it suffices to show that $[E_{23434},E_3]=0$. It follows from (J) that $[E_{23},E_{34}]=rE_{234}E_3-s^2E_3E_{234}$. On the one hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}[E_{233},E_{34}] &= s[[E_{23},E_{34}],E_3]+(r-s)[E_{23},E_{34}]E_3\\ &= r[E_{23},E_{34}]E_3 - rsE_3[E_{23},E_{34}]\\ &= r^2E_{234}E_3^2 - rs^2E_3E_{234}E_3 - r^2sE_3E_{234}E_3 + rs^3E_3^2E_{234}\\ &= r^2E_{2343}E_3 - rs^2E_3E_{2343},\end{aligned}$$

by $[E_3, E_{34}] = 0$.

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}[E_{233}, E_{34}] &= -r[[E_{233}, E_4], E_3] + (r-s)[E_{233}, E_4]E_3 \\ &= -r(r+s)[E_{2343}, E_3] + (r^2 - s^2)E_{2343}E_3 \\ &= -s(r+s)E_{2343}E_3 + r^2(r+s)E_3E_{2343}.\end{aligned}$$

So we get $[E_{2343}, E_3] = 0$, since $r^2 + rs + s^2 \neq 0$.

It follows from (J) and $[E_3, E_{34}] = 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned}[E_{2343}, E_{34}] &= s[E_{23434}, E_3] + (r-s)E_{23434}E_3 \\ &= rE_{23434}E_3 - sE_3E_{23434},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}[E_{2343}, E_{34}] &= -r[E_{23434}, E_3] + (r-s)E_{23434}E_3 \\ &= -sE_{23434}E_3 + rE_3E_{23434}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $[E_{23434}, E_3] = 0$, since $r + s \neq 0$.

This completes the proof. □

3.2. Commutation relations in U^+. We make more efforts to explicitly calculate some commutation relations, which are useful for determining central elements in subsection *3.3* and the integrals in Section 7.

LEMMA 3.2. *The following relations hold in U^+ :*

(1) $[E_1, E_{12}] = [E_{12}, E_2] = [E_2, E_{23}] = [E_{233}, E_3] = [E_3, E_{34}] = [E_{34}, E_4] = 0$;
(2) $[E_{1233}, E_{23}] = [E_{123}, E_2] = [E_1, E_{123}] = [E_1, E_{1233}] = 0$;
(3) $[E_{123}, E_{23}] = E_2E_{1233} - s^2E_{1233}E_2$;
(4) $[E_{12}, E_{123}] = [E_{23}, E_{233}] = [E_{123}, E_{1233}] = [E_{1233}, E_{12332}] = 0$;
(5) $[E_2, E_{233}] = r(r-s)E_{23}^2$;
(6) $[E_{12}, E_{23}] = (r^2 - s^2)E_{123}E_2$;
(7) $[E_{12}, E_{233}] = r^2s^2E_{12332} + r(r-s)(E_{123}E_{23} + s^2E_{23}E_{123})$.

Proof. (1) follows directly from the (r,s)-Serre relation $(F5)$.

Note that B_3 is the subsystem of F_4, the commutator relations in B_3 still hold in F_4, therefore, we get (2)—(7) by [**HW2**]. □

Combining (J) and Lemma 3.2, we get the following lemmas:

LEMMA 3.3. *The following relations hold in U^+ :*

(1) $[E_{1234}, E_4] = [E_{1234}, E_2] = [E_1, E_{1234}] = [E_{12}, E_{1234}] = [E_1, E_{12343}] = 0$;
(2) $[E_{23}, E_{34}] = rE_{234}E_3 - s^2E_3E_{234}$;
(3) $[E_{233}, E_4] = (r+s)E_{2343}$;
(4) $[E_{1233}, E_4] = (r+s)E_{12343}$;
(5) $[E_{1234}, E_{233}] = [E_{1233}, E_{234}] = (r+s)E_{23}E_{12343} - s(r+s)E_{12343}E_{23}$;
(6) $[E_2, E_{2343}] = r^2E_{234}E_{23} - sE_{23}E_{234} = r(r-s)E_{234}E_{23}$;
(7) $[E_{234}, E_4] = [E_2, E_{234}] = [E_{23}, E_{234}] = 0$;
(8) $[E_{12332}, E_3] = 0$;
(9) $[E_{123}, E_{34}] = rE_{1234}E_3 - s^2E_3E_{1234}$;
(10) $[E_{1234}, E_{23}] = E_2E_{12343} - s^2E_{12343}E_2$;
(11) $[E_{12332}, E_4] = (r+s)E_{123432}$;
(12) $[E_{12332}, E_{34}] = r^2s^2(r+s)[E_3, E_{123432}]$;

(13) $[E_{123}, E_{2343}] = r^2sE_{12343}E_{23} - s^2E_{23}E_{12343} + (r-s)(E_{1233}E_{234} + s^2E_{233}E_{1234})$;
(14) $[E_{1234}, E_{234}] = [E_2, E_{123434}]$;
(15) $[E_{1234}, E_{2343}] = rE_{12343}E_{234} - s^2E_{234}E_{12343}$.

LEMMA 3.4. *The following relations hold in* U^+ :

(1) $[E_{233}, E_{234}] = 0$;
(2) $[E_{23}, E_{2343}] = (r-s)E_{233}E_{234}$;
(3) $[E_{234}, E_{2343}] = 0$;
(4) $[E_{2343}, E_3] = 0$;
(5) $[E_{23434}, E_3] = 0$;
(6) $[E_{23434}, E_4] = 0$;
(7) $[E_{234}, E_{23434}] = 0$;
(8) $[E_{2343}, E_{23434}] = 0$;
(9) $[E_{233}, E_{2343}] = 0$;
(10) $[E_{233}, E_{23434}] = r(r^2 - s^2)E_{2343}^2$;
(11) $[E_{1233}, E_{23434}] = r(r^2-s^2)(E_{12343}E_{2343} + s^2E_{2343}E_{12343}) + r^2s^2E_{123434233}$.

Proof. (1) & (2): Observe that:

$$\begin{aligned}[E_{23}, E_{2343}] &= -r[E_{233}, E_{234}] + (r-s)E_{233}E_{234} \\ &= rE_{234}E_{233} - sE_{233}E_{234}.\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3.3 (3) and (J), we have another expansion:

$$\begin{aligned}[E_{23}, E_{2343}] &= (r+s)^{-1}[E_{23}, [E_{233}, E_4]] \\ &= (r+s)^{-1}(-r^2[E_{234}, E_{233}] + (r^2 - s^2)E_{234}E_{233}) \\ &= (r+s)^{-1}(r^2E_{233}E_{234} - s^2E_{234}E_{233}).\end{aligned}$$

Combining the above two expansions, we have $[E_{233}, E_{234}] = 0$, since $r^2 + rs + s^2 \neq 0$.

(3): Using Lemma 3.3 (3), we have

$$[E_{234}, E_{2343}] = (r+s)^{-1}[E_{234}, [E_{233}, E_4]],$$

which is 0 by (J), (2) & Lemma 3.3 (7).

(4) and (5) are already proved in the proof of Lemma 3.1.

(6) follows directly from 3.3 (7) and $[E_{34}, E_4] = 0$.

(7) follows directly from (3) and Lemma 3.3 (7).

(8): Noting that $[E_{2343}, E_{23434}] = [[E_{234}, E_3], E_{23434}]$, and using (J), (5) and (7), we obtain $[E_{2343}, E_{23434}] = 0$.

(9) follows directly from (2) and Lemma 3.2 (1).

(10) follows from (9) and Lemma 3.3 (3).

(11) follows from (J) and (10). □

LEMMA 3.5. *The following relations hold in* U^+ :

(1) $[E_{123}, E_{234}] = rE_{1234}E_{23} - s^2E_{23}E_{1234}$;
(2) $[E_{123}, E_{1234}] = 0$;
(3) $[E_{1233}, E_{1234}] = 0$;
(4) $[E_{12332}, E_{1234}] = 0$;
(5) $[E_{1234}, E_{12343}] = 0$;
(6) $[E_{12343}, E_{233}] = 0$;
(7) $[E_{1234}, E_{123432}] = 0$;

(8) $[E_{1234}, E_{1234323}] = rE_{123432}E_{12343} - rs^2E_{12343}E_{123432}$;
(9) $[E_{12343123432}, E_3] = [E_{12343}, E_{1234323}] = 0$;
(10) $[E_{1234}, E_{12343123432}] = 0$;
(11) $[E_{12343}, E_{12343123432}] = 0$;
(12) $[E_{12332}, E_{12343}] = 0$;
(13) $[E_{1233}, E_{12343}] = 0$;
(14) $[E_{1234323}, E_3] = 0$;
(15) $[E_{12}, E_{2343}] = rs^2(r-s)E_{234}E_{123} + r(r-s)E_{1234}E_{23} + r^2s^2E_{123432}$;
(16) $[E_{12343}, E_{2343}] = (r+s)^{-1}(E_{233}E_{123434} - s^2E_{123434}E_{233})$;
(17) $[E_{1233}, E_{123434}] = r(r^2-s^2)E_{12343}^2$;
(18) $[E_{1233}, E_{1234342}] = r(r^2-s^2)(r^{-2}E_{123432}E_{12343} + E_{12343}E_{123432}) + r^2s^2E_{123434}E_{12332} - r^{-2}E_{12332}E_{123434}$;
(19) $[E_{12332}, E_{123434}] = -rs(r+s)[E_{123432}, E_{12343}]$.

Proof. (1): Using (J), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[E_{123}, E_{234}] &= [[E_{123}, E_{23}], E_4] - r[E_{1234}, E_{23}] + (r-s)E_{1234}E_{23} \\
&= [[E_2, E_{1233}], E_4] + rsE_{23}E_{1234} - sE_{1234}E_{23} \quad \text{(Lemma 3.2 (2))} \\
&= (r+s)[E_2, E_{12343}] + rsE_{23}E_{1234} - sE_{1234}E_{23} \quad \text{(Lemma 3.3 (4))} \\
&= rE_{1234}E_{23} - s^2E_{23}E_{1234} \quad \text{(Lemma 3.3 (10))}.
\end{aligned}$$

(2): We have

$$\begin{aligned}
[E_{123}, E_{1234}] &= [[E_{123}, E_1], E_{234}] - r^2[[E_{123}, E_{234}], E_1] - (s^2-r^2)[E_{123}, E_{234}]E_1 \\
&= (1-r^2s^{-2})[E_{123}E_1, E_{234}] + s^{-2}E_1[E_{123}, E_{234}] - s^2[E_{123}, E_{234}]E_1 \\
&= (1-r^2s^{-2})E_{123}E_{1234} - r^2[E_{123}, E_{234}]E_1 + s^{-2}E_1[E_{123}, E_{234}] \\
&= (1-r^2s^{-2})E_{123}E_{1234} + s^{-2}[E_1, [E_{123}, E_{234}]] \\
&= (1-r^2s^{-2})E_{123}E_{1234} + s^{-2}(r+s)[E_{12}, E_{12343}] + rs^{-1}[E_1, E_{23}E_{1234}] \\
&\quad - s^{-1}[E_1, E_{1234}E_{23}] \\
&= (1-r^2s^{-2})E_{123}E_{1234} + s^{-2}(r+s)(-r[E_{123}, E_{1234}] + (r-s)E_{123}E_{1234}) \\
&\quad + rs^{-1}E_{123}E_{1234} - r^2s^{-1}E_{1234}E_{123}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $[E_{123}, E_{1234}] = 0$.

(3): We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&[E_{1233}, E_{1234}] \\
&= (1-r^2s^{-2})[E_{1233}E_1, E_{234}] - r^2[[E_{1233}, E_{234}], E_1] + (r^2-s^2)[E_{1233}, E_{234}]E_1 \\
&= (1-r^2s^{-2})E_{1233}E_{1234} + s^{-2}[E_1, [E_{1233}, E_{234}]] \\
&= (1-r^2s^{-2})E_{1233}E_{1234} + s^{-2}(r+s)(E_{123}E_{12343} - r^2sE_{12343}E_{123}) \\
&= (1-r^2s^{-2})E_{1233}E_{1234} + s^{-2}(r+s)[E_{123}, E_{12343}] \\
&= (1-r^2s^{-2})E_{1233}E_{1234} - s^{-2}(r+s)(r[E_{1233}, E_{1234}] + (r-s)E_{1233}E_{1234}) \\
&= -rs^{-2}(r+s)[E_{1233}, E_{1234}]
\end{aligned}$$

by (2) and Lemma 3.3 (1), (5). Therefore, $[E_{1233}, E_{1234}] = 0$, since $1 + rs^{-1} + r^2s^{-2} \neq 0$.

(4) follows directly from (3) and Lemma 3.3 (1).

(5): Using Lemma 3.3 (4), we have

$$[E_{1234}, E_{12343}] = (r+s)^{-1}[E_{1234}, [E_{1233}, E_4]],$$

which is 0, by (3) and Lemma 3.3 (1).

(6): Using $[E_{233}, E_3] = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&[E_{12343}, E_{233}] \\
&= (1 - r^2 s^{-2})[E_{1234}, E_3 E_{233}] + r^{-2}[[E_{1234}, E_{233}], E_3] + (s^{-2} - r^{-2})[E_{1234}, E_{233}]E_3 \\
&= (1 - r^2 s^{-2})E_{12343}E_{233} + s^{-2}[[E_{1234}, E_{233}], E_3] \\
&= (1 - r^2 s^{-2})E_{12343}E_{233} - s^{-1}(r+s)E_{12343}E_{233} + rs^{-2}(r+s)E_{233}E_{12343} \\
&= -rs^{-2}(r+s)[E_{12343}, E_{233}]
\end{aligned}$$

and $(1 + rs^{-1} + r^2 s^{-2})[E_{12343}, E_{233}] = 0$. So $[E_{12343}, E_{233}] = 0$.

(7) follows directly from (5) and Lemma 3.3 (1).

(8) follows directly from (J) and (7).

(9): Using (6) and (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&[E_{12343}, E_{1234323}] \\
&= (1 - rs^{-1})[E_{1234}, E_3 E_{1234323}] + r^{-2}s^{-1}[[E_{1234}, E_{1234323}], E_3] \\
&\quad + (s^{-2}r^{-1} - r^{-2}s^{-1})[E_{1234}, E_{1234323}]E_3 \\
&= (1 - rs^{-1})E_{12343}E_{1234323} + r^{-1}s^{-2}[[E_{1234}, E_{1234323}], E_3] \\
&= (1 - rs^{-1})E_{12343}E_{1234323} - rs^{-1}[E_{12343}, E_{1234323}] + (rs^{-1} - 1)E_{12343}E_{1234323} \\
&= -rs^{-1}[E_{12343}, E_{1234323}],
\end{aligned}$$

then $(1 + rs^{-1})[E_{12343}, E_{1234323}] = 0$. Therefore, $[E_{12343}, E_{1234323}] = 0$.

Using (J) and $[E_{12343}, E_3] = 0$, we have:

$$[E_{12343123432}, E_3] = [E_{12343}, E_{1234323}].$$

(10)-(19): Using (J), (10) follows from (5) and (7); (11) follows directly from (9) and (10); (12) follows directly from (4) and Lemma 3.3 (8); (13) follows directly from (3) and $[E_{1233}, E_3] = 0$; (14) follows directly from (6) and $[E_{12343}, E_3] = 0$; (15) follows directly from (1) and Lemma 3.3 (6); (16) follows directly from (6) and Lemma 3.3 (3); (17) follows directly from (13) and Lemma 3.3 (4); (18) follows directly from (17); (19) follows directly from (12) and Lemma 3.3 (11). □

LEMMA 3.6. *The following relations hold in* U^+ :

(1) $[E_{123432}, E_2] = 0$;
(2) $[E_{12343123432}, E_2] = r^{-1}s^{-2}(r-s)E_{123432}^2$;
(3) $[E_{12343123432}, E_{123432}] = 0$;
(4) $[E_{1234323}, E_2] = 0$;
(5) $[E_{123432}, E_{1234323}] = 0$;
(6) $[E_{12343}, E_{234}] = (r+s)^{-1}(E_{23}E_{123434} - s^2 E_{123434}E_{23})$;
(7) $[E_{1234323}, E_4] = rs(r+s)^{-1}E_{12343423}$;
(8) $[E_{123432}, E_{34}] = s^2(r+s)^{-1}(r^2 E_3 E_{1234342} - E_{1234342}E_3)$;
(9) $[E_{1234}, E_{123434}] = 0$;
(10) $[E_{123432}, E_{123434}] = 0$;
(11) $[E_{1234323}, E_{123434}] = 0$;
(12) $[E_{12343}, E_{123434}] = 0$;

(13) $[E_{1234342}, E_4] = 0$;
(14) $[E_{12343}, E_{1234342}] = (s^{-2} - r^{-2})E_{123432}E_{123434}$;
(15) $[E_{123434}, E_{1234342}] = 0$;
(16) $[E_{1234323}, E_{34}] = r^2 s E_3 E_{12343423} - s E_{12343423} E_3$;
(17) $[E_{123432}, E_{234}] = (r+s)^{-1}(r^2 E_{23}E_{1234342} - s^2 E_{1234342}E_{23})$.

Proof. (1): Using (J) and Lemma 3.3 (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}[E_{123432}, E_2] &= [[[E_{1234}, E_3], E_2], E_2] \\ &= [[E_{1234}, [E_3, E_2]], E_2] \\ &= [E_{1234}, [[E_3, E_2], E_2]] \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

(2): Using (J) and (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}[E_{12343123432}, E_2] &= r^{-2}[E_{123432}, E_{123432}] + (s^{-2} - r^{-2})E_{123432}^2 \\ &= r^{-1}s^{-2}(r-s)E_{123432}^2.\end{aligned}$$

(3): Observe that

$$[E_{123432}, E_{12343123432}] = E_{123432}E_{12343123432} - r^2 E_{12343123432}E_{123432},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}[E_{123432}, E_{12343123432}] &= [E_{12343}, [E_2, E_{12343123432}]] \quad (\text{(J) and Lemma 3.5(11)}) \\ &= [E_{12343}, -r^2 s^2 [E_{12343123432}, E_2]] \\ &= r(s-r)[E_{12343}, E_{123432}^2] \quad (2) \\ &= r(s-r)E_{12343123432}E_{123432} + s^{-1}(s-r)E_{123432}E_{12343123432} \quad (L).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $[E_{12343123432}, E_{123432}] = 0$.

(4): Using (1) and $[E_2, E_{23}] = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&[E_{1234323}, E_2] \\ &= (1 - r^2 s^{-2})[E_{12343}, E_{23}E_2] + r^{-2}[E_{123432}, E_{23}] + (s^{-2} - r^{-2})E_{123432}E_{23} \\ &= (1 - r^2 s^{-2})E_{1234323}E_2 + s^{-2}[E_{123432}, E_{23}] \\ &= (1 - r^2 s^{-2})E_{1234323}E_2 - r^2 s^{-2}[E_{1234323}, E_2] + (r^2 s^{-2} - 1)E_{1234323}E_2 \\ &= -r^2 s^{-2}[E_{1234323}, E_2].\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $[E_{1234323}, E_2] = 0$, since $1 + r^2 s^{-2} \neq 0$.

(5) follows directly from (4), Lemma 3.5 (9) and (J).

(6) & (7): On the one hand,

$$[E_{12343}, E_{234}] = [E_{1234323}, E_4] + r^{-1}E_{23}E_{123434} - s E_{123434}E_{23};$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}&[[E_{1233}, E_4], E_{234}] \\ &= (1-rs^{-1})[E_{1233}, E_4E_{234}] + r^{-1}[[E_{1233}, E_{234}], E_4] + (s^{-1}-r^{-1})[E_{1233}, E_{234}]E_4 \\ &= (1-rs^{-1})[E_{1233}, E_4]E_{234} + s^{-1}[[E_{1233}, E_{234}], E_4] \\ &= (1-rs^{-1})(r+s)E_{12343}E_{234} - (r+s)[E_{1234323}, E_4] + (r^2-s^2)E_{234}E_{12343} \\ &\quad + (rs)^{-1}(r^2-s^2)E_{23}E_{123434} \\ &= (1-rs^{-1})(r+s)[E_{12343}, E_{234}] - (r+s)[E_{1234323}, E_4] + (rs)^{-1}(r^2-s^2)E_{23}E_{123434},\end{aligned}$$

that is

$$[E_{12343}, E_{234}] = (r^{-1}-r^{-2}s)E_{23}E_{123434} - r^{-1}s[E_{1234323}, E_4].$$

So we get (6) & (7).

(8) follows directly from (J) and (7).

(9) follows directly from (J), Lemma 3.3 (1) and 3.5.

(10): Using (J), (L), Lemma 3.5 (7) and $[E_{1234}, E_{1234342}] = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&[E_{123432}, E_{123434}]\\
&= (1-rs^{-1})[E_{123432}E_{1234}, E_{34}] - rs[[E_{123432}, E_{34}], E_{1234}]\\
&= r(s-r)[E_{123432}, E_{34}]E_{1234} + (1-rs^{-1})E_{123432}E_{123434} - rs[[E_{123432}, E_{34}], E_{1234}]\\
&= s^{-1}E_{1234}[E_{123432}, E_{34}] - r^2[E_{123432}, E_{34}]E_{1234} + (1-rs^{-1})E_{123432}E_{123434}\\
&= -s(r+s)^{-1}E_{1234}E_{12343423} + s(r-s)E_{1234}E_3E_{1234342} + (rs)^2(r+s)^{-1}E_{12343423}E_{1234}\\
&\quad - (rs)^2(r-s)E_3E_{1234342}E_{1234} + (1-rs^{-1})E_{123432}E_{123434}\\
&= -s(r+s)^{-1}[E_{1234}, E_{12343423}] + s(r-s)E_{12343}E_{1234342} + (1-rs^{-1})E_{123432}E_{123434}\\
&= -s(r+s)^{-1}(-r^2[E_{12343}, E_{1234342}] + (r^2-s^2)E_{12343}E_{1234342}) + s(r-s)E_{12343}E_{1234342}\\
&\quad + (1-rs^{-1})E_{123432}E_{123434}\\
&= -s(r+s)^{-1}(-s^2[E_{12343}, E_{1234342}] + (r^2-s^2)E_{1234342}E_{12343})\\
&\quad + s(r-s)([E_{12343}, E_{1234342}] + E_{1234342}E_{12343}) + (1-rs^{-1})E_{123432}E_{123434}\\
&= r^2s(r+s)^{-1}(-s^{-2}[E_{123432}, E_{123434}] + (s^{-2}-r^{-2})E_{123432}E_{123434})\\
&\quad + (1-rs^{-1})E_{123432}E_{123434}\\
&= -r^2s^{-1}(r+s)^{-1}[E_{123432}, E_{123434}].
\end{aligned}$$

We have $[E_{123432}, E_{123434}] = 0$, since $r^2 + rs + s^2 \neq 0$.

(11) follows directly from (10) and $[E_{123434}, E_3] = 0$.

(12) follows directly from (9), $[E_{123434}, E_3] = 0$ and (J).

(13) follows directly from $[E_{123434}, E_4] = 0$ and $[E_2, E_4] = 0$.

(14): Using (J), (12) and (10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&[E_{12343}, E_{1234342}]\\
&= -s^{-2}[E_{123432}, E_{123434}] + (s^{-2}-r^{-2})E_{123432}E_{123434}\\
&= (s^{-2}-r^{-2})E_{123432}E_{123434}.
\end{aligned}$$

(15): Using (L), (13) and (14), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&[E_{123434}, E_{1234342}]\\
&= [E_{12343}, [E_4, E_{1234342}]] + r^{-2}[[E_{12343}, E_{1234342}], E_4] + (s^{-2}-r^{-2})[E_{12343}, E_{1234342}]E_4\\
&= (1-r^2s^{-2})[E_{12343}, E_4E_{1234342}] + r^{-2}[[E_{12343}, E_{1234342}], E_4]\\
&+ (s^{-2}-r^{-2})[E_{12343}, E_{1234342}]E_4\\
&= (1-r^2s^{-2})E_{123434}E_{1234342} + s^{-2}[[E_{12343}, E_{1234342}], E_4]\\
&= (1-r^2s^{-2})E_{123434}E_{1234342} + s^{-2}(s^{-2}-r^{-2})[E_{123432}E_{123434}, E_4]\\
&= (1-r^2s^{-2})(E_{123434}E_{1234342} - rs^3E_{1234342}E_{123434})\\
&= (1-r^2s^{-2})[E_{123434}, E_{1234342}].
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $[E_{123434}, E_{1234342}] = 0$.

(16) follows directly from (J), (7) and Lemma 3.4 (14).

(17) follows directly from (J), Lemma 3.6 (1) and (8). □

LEMMA 3.7. *The following relations hold in* U^+ :

(1) $[E_{123434}, E_{12343423}] = 0$;
(2) $[E_{1234342}, E_2] = 0$;
(3) $[E_{1234342}, E_{23}] = r^{-2}E_2E_{12343423} - r^2E_{12343423}E_2$;
(4) $[E_{12343423}, E_2] = 0$;
(5) $[E_{1234342}, E_{12343423}] = 0$;
(6) $[E_{123434233}, E_3] = 0$;
(7) $[E_{1234342}, E_{123434233}] = r(r-s)E^2_{12343423}$;
(8) $[E_{12343423}, E_{123434233}] = 0$;
(9) $[E_{12343423}, E_{23}] = r^{-2}s^{-2}E_2E_{123434233} - s^2E_{123434233}E_2$;
(10) $[E_{12343423}, E_{233}] = r^{-1}s^{-2}(r+s)(E_{23}E_{123434233} - rs^3E_{123434233}E_{23})$;
(11) $[E_{123434233}, E_{23}] = 0$;
(12) $[E_{1234342332}, E_3] = 0$;
(13) $[E_{12343423}, E_{1234342332}] = 0$;
(14) $[E_{123434233}, E_{1234342332}] = 0$;
(15) $[E_{1234342332}, E_2] = 0$;
(16) $[E_{1234342}, E_{233}] = E_{23}E_{12343423} - rsE_{12343423}E_{23}$;
(17) $[E_{1234323}, E_{234}] = r(r+s)^{-1}(E_{23}E_{12343423} - s^2E_{12343423}E_{23})$;
(18) $[E_{123432}, E_{2343}] = r^2(r-s)E_{233}E_{1234342} + (r-s)E_{23}E_{12343423} + r^2sE_{234}E_{1234323} - sE_{1234323}E_{234}$.

Proof. (1): Using (J), Lemma 3.6 (15) and $[E_{123434}, E_3] = 0$, we obtain the desired result.

(2) follows from (J) and Lemma 3.6 (1).

(3): Using (2) and Serre relations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}[E_{1234342}, E_{23}] &= -s^2[E_{12343423}, E_2] + (s^2-r^2)E_{12343423}E_2\\ &= r^{-2}E_2E_{12343423} - r^2E_{12343423}E_2.\end{aligned}$$

(4): We have

$$\begin{aligned}&[E_{12343423}, E_2]\\ &= [E_{123434}, [E_{23}, E_2]] + r^{-2}[E_{1234342}, E_{23}] + (s^{-2}-r^{-2})E_{1234342}E_{23}\\ &= (1-r^2s^{-2})[E_{123434}, E_{23}E_2] + r^{-2}[E_{1234342}, E_{23}] + (s^{-2}-r^{-2})E_{1234342}E_{23}\\ &= (1-r^2s^{-2})E_{12343423}E_2 + s^{-2}[E_{1234342}, E_{23}]\\ &= (1-r^2s^{-2})E_{12343423}E_2 + s^{-2}(r^{-2}E_2E_{12343423} - r^2E_{12343423}E_2)\\ &= r^2s^{-2}[E_{12343423}, E_2].\end{aligned}$$

So we get $[E_{12343423}, E_2] = 0$.

(5) follows directly from (J), (1) and (4).

(6) follows directly from $[E_{123434}, E_3] = 0$, $[E_{233}, E_3] = 0$ and (J).

(7): Using (5) and (J), we have

$$\begin{aligned}[E_{1234342}, E_{123434233}] &= -rs[E_{12343423}, E_{12343423}]\\ &= rs(rs^{-1}-1)E_{12343423}^2\\ &= r(r-s)E_{12343423}^2.\end{aligned}$$

(8): Using (6) and (J), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&[E_{12343423}, E_{123434233}]\\ &= [E_{1234342}, [E_3, E_{123434233}]] + r^{-2}[[E_{1234342}, E_{123434233}], E_3]\\ &\quad + (s^{-2}-r^{-2})[E_{1234342}, E_{123434233}]E_3\\ &= (1-r^2s^{-2})[E_{1234342}, E_3E_{123434233}] + r^{-2}[[E_{1234342}, E_{123434233}], E_3]\\ &\quad + (s^{-2}-r^{-2})[E_{1234342}, E_{123434233}]E_3\\ &= (1-r^2s^{-2})E_{12343423}E_{123434233} + s^{-2}[[E_{1234342}, E_{123434233}], E_3]\\ &= (1-r^2s^{-2})E_{12343423}E_{123434233} + rs^{-2}(r-s)[E_{12343423}^2, E_3]\\ &= (1-r^2s^{-2})E_{12343423}E_{123434233}\\ &\quad + rs^{-2}(r-s)(rsE_{123434233}E_{12343423} + E_{12343423}E_{123434233})\\ &= (1-rs^{-1})(E_{12343423}E_{123434233} - r^2E_{123434233}E_{12343423})\\ &= (1-rs^{-1})[E_{12343423}, E_{123434233}].\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $[E_{12343423}, E_{123434233}] = 0$.

(9): Using (4) and (J), we have

$$\begin{aligned}[E_{12343423}, E_{23}] &= -r^2[E_{123434233}, E_2] + (r^2-s^2)E_{123434233}E_2\\ &= r^{-2}s^{-2}E_2E_{123434233} - s^2E_{123434233}E_2.\end{aligned}$$

(10): Using (J), (L), (9) and (6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}[E_{12343423}, E_{233}] &= [[E_{12343423}, E_{23}], E_3] - rs[E_{123434233}, E_{23}]\\ &= r^{-2}s^{-2}[E_2E_{123434233}, E_3] - s^2[E_{123434233}E_2, E_3]\\ &\quad - rsE_{123434233}E_{23} + r^{-1}s^{-1}E_{23}E_{123434233}\\ &= s^{-1}(r^{-1}+s^{-1})E_{23}E_{123434233} - s(r+s)E_{123434233}E_{23}.\end{aligned}$$

(11): Using (10) and (J), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&[E_{123434233}, E_{23}]\\ &= [E_{123434}, [E_{233}, E_{23}]] + r^{-2}[E_{12343423}, E_{233}] + (s^{-2}-r^{-2})E_{12343423}E_{233}\\ &= (1-r^2s^{-2})[E_{123434}, E_{233}E_{23}] + r^{-2}[E_{12343423}, E_{233}] + (s^{-2}-r^{-2})E_{12343423}E_{233}\\ &= (1-r^2s^{-2})E_{123434233}E_{23}+s^{-2}[E_{12343423}, E_{233}]\\ &= (1-r^2s^{-2})E_{123434233}E_{23}+s^{-3}(r^{-1}+s^{-1})E_{23}E_{123434233}-s^{-1}(r+s)E_{123434233}E_{23}\\ &= -(rs^{-1}+r^2s^{-2})(E_{123434233}E_{23} - r^{-2}s^{-2}E_{23}E_{123434233})\\ &= -(rs^{-1}+r^2s^{-2})[E_{123434233}, E_{23}],\end{aligned}$$

then $(1+rs^{-1}+r^2s^{-2})[E_{123434233}, E_{23}] = 0$. Therefore, $[E_{123434233}, E_{23}] = 0$, since $1+rs^{-1}+r^2s^{-2} \neq 0$.

(12): Using (6), (11) and (J), we have

$$[E_{1234342332}, E_3] = [E_{123434233}, E_{23}] = 0.$$

(13) follows directly from (J), (4) and (8).
(14) follows directly from (J), (12) and (13).
(15): Using (J) and (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}[E_{1234342332}, E_2] &= [[E_{123434233}, E_2], E_2] \\ &= [[E_{12343423}, [E_3, E_2]], E_2] \\ &= [E_{12343423}, [[E_3, E_2], E_2]] \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

(16): On the one hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}[E_{1234342}, E_{233}] &= [E_{123434}, [E_2, E_{233}]] + r^2s^2[E_{123434233}, E_2] \\ &= r(r-s)[E_{123434}, E_{23}^2] + r^2s^2E_{1234342332} \\ &= r(r-s)(E_{12343423}E_{23} + r^{-2}E_{23}E_{12343423}) + r^2s^2E_{1234342332}.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using (J), (3) and (L), we have

$$\begin{aligned}[E_{1234342}, E_{233}] &= [[E_{1234342}, E_{23}], E_3] - rs[E_{12343423}, E_{23}] \\ &= r^{-2}[E_2E_{12343423}, E_3] - r^2[E_{12343423}E_2, E_3] - rs[E_{12343423}, E_{23}] \\ &= (1+r^{-1}s)E_{23}E_{12343423} - (r^2+rs)E_{12343423}E_{23} \\ &\quad - r^2s^2E_{123434233}E_2 + r^{-2}E_2E_{123434233}.\end{aligned}$$

Comparing the expansions, we obtain

$$[E_{1234342}, E_{233}] = E_{23}E_{12343423} - rsE_{12343423}E_{23}.$$

(17): Using (J), Lemma 3.5 (14) and Lemma 3.6 (4), we have $[E_{1234323}, E_{23}] = 0$.

Using (J), Lemma 3.6 (7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}[E_{1234323}, E_{234}] &= [[E_{1234323}, E_{23}], E_4] + s^{-1}E_{23}[E_{1234323}, E_4] - s[E_{1234323}, E_4]E_{23} \\ &= r(r+s)^{-1}(E_{23}E_{12343423} - s^2E_{12343423}E_{23}).\end{aligned}$$

(18) follows from (J), (16) and Lemma 3.6 (17). □

Combining the above lemmas, we get the following

THEOREM 3.8. $[E_{\beta_i}, E_{\beta_{i+1}}] = 0, \beta_i \in \Phi^+, 1 \leq i < 24$. □

THEOREM 3.9. *For two positive roots $\beta_i < \beta_j$, we have $[E_{\beta_i}, E_{\beta_j}] \in B_{i,j}$, where $B_{i,j}$ is the subalgebra of U^+ generated by $\{E_{\beta_k} \mid \beta_i < \beta_k < \beta_j, \beta_k \in \Phi^+\}$.*

Proof. We can write β as a sum $\beta_i + \beta_j$ of two positive roots $\beta_i < \beta_j$.

Case 1. β is a positive root. If (β_i, β_j) is a minimal pair or a Lyndon pair for β, we have $[E_{\beta_i}, E_{\beta_j}] = E_\beta \in B_{i,j}$; if (β_i, β_j) is neither a minimal pair nor a Lyndon pair for β, according to the root decomposition in Table I and the relations given in the following forms, we have $[E_{\beta_i}, E_{\beta_j}] \in B_{i,j}$.

$h(\beta)$	roots $\to$ root vectors	relations
4	$\beta_4 \to E_{1233}$ $\beta_6 \to E_{1234}$ $\beta_{20} \to E_{2343}$	$(M), (L);$ $(M), (L), (L);$ $(M), L3.2(3), (2);$
5	$\beta_5 \to E_{12332}$ $\beta_7 \to E_{12343}$ $\beta_{21} \to E_{23434}$	$(M), L3.1(3), (7);$ $(M), (L), L3.2(4), (9);$ $(M), (L);$
6	$\beta_9 \to E_{123432}$ $\beta_{11} \to E_{123434}$	$(M), L3.2(10), (11), L3.4(1), (15);$ $(M), (L), (L);$
7	$\beta_{10} \to E_{1234323}$ $\beta_{12} \to E_{1234342}$	$(M), (L), L3.2(5), (12), (13);$ $(M), (L), L3.2(14);$
8	$\beta_{13} \to E_{12343423}$	$(M), (L), L3.2(15), L3.5(6), (7), (8);$
9	$\beta_{14} \to E_{123434233}$	$(M), (L), L3.5(16), L3.4(16), L3.3(11);$
10	$\beta_{15} \to E_{1234342332}$	$(M), (L), L3.6(9), (16), (17), (18), [E_{12332}, E_{23434}] \in B_{5,21};$
11	$\beta_8 \to E_{12343123432}$	$(M), L3.4(8), (18), (19), [E_{123}, E_{12343423}] \in B_{3,13},$ $[E_{12}, E_{123434233}] \in B_{2,14}, [E_1, E_{1234342332}] \in B_{1,15}.$

Case 2. β is not a positive root. If $\beta = \beta_i + \beta_j = 2\beta_k$, β_k is a positive root with $\beta_i < \beta_k < \beta_j$, then $[E_{\beta_i}, E_{\beta_j}] = AE_{\beta_k}^2$, where A is a non-zero coefficient. This implies $[E_{\beta_i}, E_{\beta_j}] \in B_{i,j}$. If $\beta = \beta_i + \beta_j = \beta_{i'} + \beta_{j'}$ and $\beta_i < \beta_{i'} < \beta < \beta_{j'} < \beta_j, \beta_{i'}, \beta_{j'} \in \Phi^+$, then $[E_{\beta_i}, E_{\beta_j}]$ is a linear combination of products $E_{\beta_{i'}} E_{\beta_{j'}}$ and $E_{\beta_{j'}} E_{\beta_{i'}}$. If $\beta = \beta_i + \beta_j \neq \beta_{i'} + \beta_{j'}$, then $[E_{\beta_i}, E_{\beta_j}] = 0$. So $[E_{\beta_i}, E_{\beta_j}] \in B_{i,j}$.

This completes the proof. □

3.3. Central elements. Assume that r is a primitive dth root of unity, s is a primitive d'th root of unity and ℓ is the least common multiple of d and d'. From now on, we assume that $\mathbb{K}$ contains a primitive ℓth root of unity.

In the following lemmas, we adopt the following notational conventions:

$$[n]_t := \frac{1-t^n}{1-t}, \quad [n]_t! := [n]_t[n-1]_t \cdots [2]_t[1]_t, \quad \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix}_t := \frac{[m]_t!}{[n]_t![m-n]_t!}.$$

By convention $[0]_t = 0$ and $[0]_t! = 1$.

The following lemmas are useful for deriving some commutation relations.

LEMMA 3.10. *Let x, y, z be elements of associative algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ over $\mathbb{K}$, $p, q, q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{K}$, for nonnegative integer m, then the following assertions hold*

(1) $x^m y = \sum_{i=0}^{m} q^i \begin{bmatrix} m \\ i \end{bmatrix}_p ((ad_q x)_L^{(m-i)} y) x^i$, *where* $(ad_q x)_L^{(n)} y = x((ad_q x)_L^{(n-1)} y) - p^{n-1} q((ad_q x)_L^{(n-1)} y)x, n > 0$, *and set* $(ad_q x)_L^{(0)} y = y$;

(2) $xy^m = \sum_{i=0}^{m} q^i \begin{bmatrix} m \\ i \end{bmatrix}_p y^i ((ad_q y)_R^{(m-i)} x)$, *where* $(ad_q y)_R^{(n)} x = ((ad_q y)_R^{(n-1)} x)y - p^{n-1} qy((ad_q y)_R^{(n-1)} x), n > 0$, *and set* $(ad_q y)_R^{(0)} x = x$;

(3) $(ad_{q_1 q_2} x)_L^{(m)}(yz) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} q_1^i \begin{bmatrix} m \\ i \end{bmatrix}_p ((ad_{q_1} x)_L^{(m-i)} y)((ad_{q_2} x)_L^{(i)} z)$.

Proof. (1): We can prove it by induction on m. The equality clearly holds for $m = 0$, suppose that equality holds for all $k \leq m$, when $k = m + 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x^{m+1} y &= x \sum_{i=0}^{m} q^i \begin{bmatrix} m \\ i \end{bmatrix}_p ((\mathrm{ad}_q x)_L^{(m-i)} y) x^i \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{m} q^i \begin{bmatrix} m \\ i \end{bmatrix}_p \left((\mathrm{ad}_q x)_L^{(m+1-i)} y + p^{m-i} q (\mathrm{ad}_q x)_L^{(m-i)} y) x \right) x^i \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{m} q^i \begin{bmatrix} m \\ i \end{bmatrix}_p ((\mathrm{ad}_q x)_L^{(m+1-i)} y) x^i + \sum_{i=0}^{m} p^{m-i} q^{i+1} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ i \end{bmatrix}_p ((\mathrm{ad}_q x)_L^{(m-i)} y) x^{i+1} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{m} q^i \begin{bmatrix} m \\ i \end{bmatrix}_p ((\mathrm{ad}_q x)_L^{(m+1-i)} y)x^i + \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} p^{m-i+1} q^i \begin{bmatrix} m \\ i-1 \end{bmatrix}_p ((\mathrm{ad}_q x)_L^{(m-i+1)} y)x^i$$
$$= \sum_{i=0}^{m+1} q^i \left(\begin{bmatrix} m \\ i \end{bmatrix}_p + p^{m-i+1} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ i-1 \end{bmatrix}_p \right) ((\mathrm{ad}_q x)_L^{(m+1-i)} y)x^i$$
$$= \sum_{i=0}^{m+1} q^i \begin{bmatrix} m+1 \\ i \end{bmatrix}_p ((\mathrm{ad}_q x)_L^{(m+1-i)} y)x^i,$$

which completes the proof.

(2) and (3) can be easily verified by induction on m. □

LEMMA 3.11. (1) *There exists a positive integer N such that for all $m \geq N$,*

$$(ad_q E_{\beta_i})_L^{(m)} E_{\beta_j} = 0, \quad i < j$$

holds, where $q = \langle \omega'_{\beta_j}, \omega_{\beta_i} \rangle$.

(2) *There exists a positive integer N such that for all $m \geq N$,*

$$(ad_q E_{\beta_j})_R^{(m)} E_{\beta_i} = 0, \quad i < j$$

holds, where $q = \langle \omega'_{\beta_i}, \omega_{\beta_j} \rangle$.

Proof. (1) holds for $j = i + 1$ by Theorem 3.8. Using Theorem 3.9, we get

$$[E_{\beta_i}, E_{\beta_{i+k+1}}] \in \mathrm{span}_{\mathrm{alg}}\{ E_{\beta_t} \mid i < t < i + k + 1\},$$

using the induction hypothesis and Lemma 3.10 (3), we know (1) holds for $j = i + k + 1$, which completes the proof.

The similar argument shows that (2) is true. □

PROPOSITION 3.12. $E_{\beta_i}^{\ell}$ $(1 \leq i \leq 24)$ *commutes with* E_j $(1 \leq j \leq 4)$.

Proof. Set $E_j = E_{\beta_j}$, for a simple root β_j. If $\beta_i < \beta_j$, note that for $p \in \mathbb{K}$ with $p^\ell = 1$ we have $\begin{bmatrix} \ell \\ k \end{bmatrix}_p = 0$ except $k = 0, \ell$, moreover $q = \langle \omega'_{\beta_j}, \omega_{\alpha_i} \rangle^\ell = 1$. Using Lemmas 3.10 and 3.11, we get

$$E_{\beta_i}^{\ell} E_j = (\mathrm{ad}_q E_{\beta_i})_L^{(\ell)} E_j + E_j E_{\beta_i}^{\ell} = E_j E_{\beta_i}^{\ell}.$$

The same argument shows that this holds for the case when $\beta_i < \beta_j$. Thus we complete the proof. □

LEMMA 3.13. *Let $\beta_i \in \Phi^+$ with $h(\beta_i) > 1$. For $1 \leq j \leq 4$, the following relations hold:*

$$[E_{\beta_i}, F_j]_1 = \begin{cases} \mathbf{E}_\beta \omega'_1,\ \mathbf{E}_\beta \in B_{8,22}, & i = 8, j = 1; \\ r^{-3}(r-s) E_{12343}^2 \omega_2, & i = 8, j = 2; \\ r^{-3}s^{-1}(r^2-s^2) E_{12343} E_{12332} \omega_4, & i = 8, j = 4; \\ a\mathbf{E}_\beta \omega'_1,\ a \neq 0,\ \mathbf{E}_\beta \in B_{15,22}, & j = 1, 9 \leqslant i \leqslant 15; \\ a\mathbf{E}_\beta \omega'_2,\ a \neq 0,\ \mathbf{E}_\beta \in B_{21,24}, & j = 2, 17 \leqslant i \leqslant 21; \\ aE_{\beta_k} \omega_j, & if\ \beta_i = \beta_k + \alpha_j, \beta_k < \beta_i < \alpha_j, \beta_k \in \Phi^+; \\ aE_{\beta_k} \omega'_j, & if\ \beta_i = \alpha_j + \beta_k, \alpha_j < \beta_i < \beta_k, \beta_k \in \Phi^+; \\ 0, & other\ cases. \end{cases}$$

where $[x, y]_1 = xy - yx$.

Proof. The proof can be carried out for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$:
(I) If $j = 1$, for $i = 8$, we calculate

$$[E_{12343}, F_1]_1 = -E_{2343}\omega_1';$$
$$[E_{123432}, F_1]_1 = (r^{-2}E_2E_{2343} - r^2E_{2343}E_2)\omega_1'.$$

So we obtain $[E_{12343123432}, F_1]_1 = \mathbf{E}_\beta\omega_1'$ by using

$$[E_{12343}, E_{2343}] = (r+s)^{-1}[E_{233}, E_{123434}],$$

where

$$\mathbf{E}_\beta = r^{-2}s^{-1}(r+s)(E_{123432}E_{2343} - r^4s^2E_{2343}E_{123432}) + r^{-4}(r+s)^{-1}(E_2[E_{233}, E_{123434}] - r^6[E_{233}, E_{123434}]E_2) \in B_{15,22}.$$

For $i \neq 8$, by direct calculation, we obtain $[E_{\beta_i}, F_1]_1 = a\mathbf{E}_\beta\omega_1'$, where $\mathbf{E}_\beta \in B_{15,22}$, $a \neq 0$ for $i = 5$ and $9 \leqslant i \leqslant 15$; $a = 0$ for $16 \leqslant i \leqslant 24$; for $i = 2, 3, 4, 6, 7$, $[E_{\beta_i}, F_1]_1 = aE_{\beta_k}\omega_1'$, where $\beta_i = \alpha_1 + \beta_k$, $\alpha_1 < \beta_i < \beta_k$, $\beta_k \in \Phi^+$.

(II) If $j = 2$, for $i > 16$, by direct calculation, we obtain $[E_{\beta_i}, F_2]_1 = a\mathbf{E}_\beta\omega_2'$, where $\mathbf{E}_\beta \in B_{21,24}$, $a \neq 0$ for $17 \leqslant i \leqslant 21$; $a = 0$ for $22 \leqslant i \leqslant 24$.

For $i = 2$, we get $[E_{12}, F_2]_1 = r^{-2}E_1\omega_2$ by direct calculation, furthermore, we have $[E_{123}, F_2]_c = 0$ and $[E_{\beta_i}, F_2]_1 = 0$ for $i = 3, 4, 6, 7, 11$. Moreover, we have $[E_{\beta_5}, F_2]_1 = [E_{12332}, F_2]_c = r^{-2}E_{1233}\omega_2$ and $[E_{\beta_9}, F_2]_1 = [E_{123432}, F_2]_c = r^{-2}E_{12343}\omega_2$. By direct calculation, we have

$$[E_{\beta_8}, E_2]_1 = [E_{12343123432}, F_2]_1 = r^{-2}(1-r^{-1}s)E_{12343}^2\omega_2.$$

Moreover, we have $[E_{\beta_i}, F_2]_1 = 0$ for $i = 10, 13, 14$; $[E_{\beta_i}, F_2]_1 = aE_{\beta_k}\omega_2, \beta_i = \beta_k + \alpha_2, \beta_k < \beta_i < \alpha_2, \beta_k \in \Phi^+$, for $i = 12, 15$.

(III) If $j = 3$, for $i = 2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 15, 19, 21$, $[E_{\beta_i}, F_3] = 0$; for $i = 3, 4, 7, 10, 13, 14, 17, 18, 20$, we have $[E_{\beta_i}, F_3]_1 = aE_{\beta_{i-1}}\omega_3$ if $\beta_i = \beta_{i-1} + \alpha_3$, $\beta_{i-1} < \beta_i < \alpha_3$; for $i = 23$, we have $[E_{\beta_{23}}, F_3]_1 = [E_{34}, F_3]_1 = aE_4\omega_3'$.

(IV) If $j = 4$, for $i = 2, 3, 4, 5, 10, 14, 15, 17, 18$, $[E_{\beta_i}, F_4] = 0$; for $i = 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 19, 20, 21, 23$, we have $[E_{\beta_i}, F_4]_1 = aE_{\beta_{i-1}}\omega_4$ if $\beta_i = \beta_k + \alpha_4$, $\beta_k < \beta_i < \alpha_4, \beta_k \in \Phi^+$; for $i = 8$, we have

$$[E_{\beta_8}, F_4]_1 = r^{-3}s^{-1}(E_{1233}E_{123432} - E_{123432}E_{1233})\omega_4 = r^{-3}s^{-1}(r^2-s^2)E_{12343}E_{12332}\omega_4.$$

We complete the proof. □

PROPOSITION 3.14. *$E_{\beta_i}^\ell$ $(1 \leq i \leq 24)$ commutes with F_j $(1 \leq j \leq 4)$.*

Proof. For $i \neq j$, we have $(\mathrm{ad}_q E_{\beta_i})_L^{(\ell)} F_j = 0$, by Lemma 3.13; using Lemma 3.10 for $q = 1, p = \langle\omega_{\beta_i}', \omega_{\beta_i}\rangle\langle\omega_{\beta_i}', \omega_j\rangle^{-1}$, we get:

$$E_{\beta_i}^\ell F_j = F_jE_{\beta_i}^\ell + (\mathrm{ad}_q E_{\beta_i})_L^{(\ell)} F_j = F_jE_{\beta_i}^\ell.$$

For $i = j$, we have

$$E_i^a F_i = F_iE_i^a + \left(\frac{r_i^a - s_i^a}{r_i - s_i}\right) E_i^{a-1} \frac{s_i^{-a+1}\omega_i - r_i^{-a+1}\omega_i'}{r_i - s_i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 4,$$

for $a = \ell$, we have $E_i^\ell F_i = F_iE_i^\ell$, which completes the proof. □

THEOREM 3.15. *All $E_{\beta_i}^\ell$, $F_{\beta_i}^\ell$ $(1 \leq i \leq 24)$, and $\omega_k^\ell - 1$, $\omega_k'^\ell - 1$ $(1 \leq k \leq 4)$ are central in $U_{r,s}(F_4)$.*

Proof. It follows from Propositions 3.12 and 3.14, together with using τ. $\square$

4. Restricted two-parameter quantum groups

4.1. In what follows, *we assume that ℓ is odd.* Now we define the restricted two-parameter quantum group of type F_4.

DEFINITION 4.1. The *restricted two-parameter quantum group of type F_4* is the quotient

$$\mathfrak{u}_{r,s}(F_4) := U_{r,s}(F_4)/\mathcal{I},$$

where $\mathcal{I}$ is the two-sided ideal of $U_{r,s}(F_4)$ generated by $E_{\beta_i}^{\ell}, F_{\beta_i}^{\ell}$ $(1 \le i \le 24)$ and $\omega_k^{\ell} - 1$, $\omega_k'^{\ell} - 1$ $(1 \le k \le 4)$.

The following elements form a basis of $\mathfrak{u}_{r,s}(F_4)$ by Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 2.5:

$$E_{\beta_{24}}^{c_{24}} E_{\beta_{23}}^{c_{23}} \cdots E_{\beta_1}^{c_1} \omega_1^{b_1} \omega_2^{b_2} \omega_3^{b_3} \omega_4^{b_4} \omega_1'^{b_1'} \omega_2'^{b_2'} \omega_3'^{b_3'} \omega_4'^{b_4'} F_{\beta_1}^{d_1} F_{\beta_2}^{d_2} \cdots F_{\beta_{24}}^{d_{24}},$$

where all powers are between 0 and $\ell-1$, so we have $\dim \mathfrak{u}_{r,s}(F_4) = \ell^{56}$.

4.2. The main theorem of this section is

THEOREM 4.2. *The ideal $\mathcal{I}$ is a Hopf ideal, and $\mathfrak{u}_{r,s}(F_4)$ is a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra.*

To prove that $\mathfrak{u}_{r,s}(F_4)$ is a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra, it suffices to prove that $\mathcal{I}$ is a Hopf ideal. We observe that $\varepsilon(\mathcal{I}) = 0$, and the co-multiplication Δ (resp. antipode S) is a homomorphism (resp. anti-homomorphism) of algebras, so it remains to show that $\Delta(x) \in \mathcal{I} \otimes U + U \otimes \mathcal{I}$ and $S(x) \in \mathcal{I}$ hold for each generator x. We first calculate:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\omega_k^{\ell} - 1) &= \omega_k^{\ell} \otimes \omega_k^{\ell} - 1 \otimes 1 \\ &= (\omega_k^{\ell} - 1) \otimes \omega_k^{\ell} + 1 \otimes (\omega_k^{\ell} - 1) \\ &\in \mathcal{I} \otimes U + U \otimes \mathcal{I}; \\ S(\omega_k^{\ell} - 1) &= -\omega_k^{-\ell}(\omega_k^{\ell} - 1) \in \mathcal{I}. \end{aligned}$$

The same argument shows that $\Delta(\omega_k'^{\ell} - 1) \in \mathcal{I} \otimes U + U \otimes \mathcal{I}$ and $S(\omega_k'^{\ell} - 1) \in \mathcal{I}$.

The proof of Theorem 4.2 will be done through the following Proposition on the formulae of quantum root vectors under the co-multiplication.

The following lemma is useful for deriving the formulae of non-simple root vectors under the co-multiplication.

LEMMA 4.3. *Let X, Y, Z be elements of a $\mathbb{K}$-algebra such that $XY = \alpha YX + Z$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and m a natural number. Then the following assertions hold*

(1) *If $ZY = \beta YZ$ for $\beta(\neq \alpha) \in \mathbb{K}$, then $XY^m = \alpha^m Y^m X + \frac{\alpha^m - \beta^m}{\alpha - \beta} Y^{m-1} Z$;*

(2) *If $XZ = \beta ZX$ for $\beta(\neq \alpha) \in \mathbb{K}$, then $X^m Y = \alpha^m Y X^m + \frac{\alpha^m - \beta^m}{\alpha - \beta} Z X^{m-1}$;*

(3) *If $ZY = \alpha^2 YZ$, $XZ = \alpha^2 ZX$, $\alpha^2 \neq 1$, then*

$$(X+Y)^m = \sum_{\substack{m_1, m_2, m_3 \in Z^+, \\ m_1 + 2m_2 + m_3 = l}} \frac{[m]_\alpha!}{[m_1]_\alpha! [m_3]_\alpha! [2m_2]_\alpha!!} Y^{m_1} Z^{m_2} X^{m_3},$$

where $[m]_\alpha = \frac{1-\alpha^m}{1-\alpha}$.

Proof. (1) and (2) follow from [**HW2**].

(3) For details, see the proof of Lemma 1 in [**B**]. □

PROPOSITION 4.4. *For $\beta_i \in \Phi^+$, we have*

$$(1)\quad \Delta(E_{\beta_i}^{\ell}) \in \mathcal{I}\otimes U + U\otimes \mathcal{I}, \quad S(E_{\beta_i}^{\ell}) \in \mathcal{I};$$
$$(2)\quad \Delta(F_{\beta_i}^{\ell}) \in \mathcal{I}\otimes U + U\otimes \mathcal{I}, \quad S(F_{\beta_i}^{\ell}) \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Proof. (1) The proof $\Delta(E_{\beta_i}^{\ell}) \in \mathcal{I}\otimes U + U\otimes \mathcal{I}$ can be carried out in three steps.

Step 1. Let $\beta_i = \alpha_i$. Note that $\Delta(E_i) = E_i\otimes 1+\omega_i\otimes E_i$ and $(\omega_i\otimes E_i)(E_i\otimes 1) = r_i s_i^{-1}(E_i\otimes 1)(\omega_i\otimes E_i)$ for $1\leqslant i\leqslant 4$, so

$$\Delta(E_i^n) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_{r_i s_i^{-1}} E_i^{n-j}\omega_i^j \otimes E_i^j.$$

We have

$$\Delta(E_i^{\ell}) = E_i^{\ell}\otimes 1 + \omega_i^{\ell}\otimes E_i^{\ell} \in \mathcal{I}\otimes U + U\otimes \mathcal{I}.$$

Step 2. Let $\beta_i = \alpha_j + \alpha_{j+1}$ for $1\leqslant j\leqslant 3$ and write $E_{\beta_i} = E_{jj+1}$. Note that

$$\Delta(E_{jj+1}) = E_{jj+1}\otimes 1 + \omega_{jj+1}\otimes E_{jj+1} + (1-a_{jj+1}a_{j+1j})E_j\omega_{j+1}\otimes E_{j+1},$$

and

$$(\omega_{jj+1}\otimes E_{jj+1} + (1-a_{jj+1}a_{j+1j})E_j\omega_{j+1}\otimes E_j)(E_{jj+1}\otimes 1)$$
$$= a_{jj}a_{jj+1}a_{j+1j}a_{j+1j+1}(E_{jj+1}\otimes 1)(\omega_{jj+1}\otimes E_{jj+1}+(1-a_{jj+1}a_{j+1j})E_j\omega_{j+1}\otimes E_{j+1}),$$

and $a_{jj}a_{jj+1}a_{j+1j}a_{j+1j+1} = r^2s^{-2}$ or rs^{-1}. So

$$\Delta(E_{jj+1}^{\ell}) = E_{jj+1}^{\ell}\otimes 1 + (\omega_{jj+1}\otimes E_{jj+1} + (1-a_{jj+1}a_{j+1j})E_j\omega_{j+1}\otimes E_{j+1})^{\ell}.$$

Now we calculate $(\omega_{jj+1}\otimes E_{jj+1} + (1-a_{jj+1}a_{j+1j})E_j\omega_{j+1}\otimes E_{j+1})^{\ell}$, let $X = \omega_{jj+1}\otimes E_{jj+1}, Y = E_j\omega_{j+1}\otimes E_{j+1}$.

By a simple computation, we have $XY = a_{jj}a_{jj+1}a_{j+1j}a_{j+1j+1}YX + Z_j$, where $Z_1 = 0, Z_2 = s^{-2}E_2\omega_{233}\otimes E_{233}, Z_3 = 0$. So $\Delta(E_{12}^{\ell}), \Delta(E_{34}^{\ell}) \in \mathcal{I}\otimes U+U\otimes\mathcal{I}$. We only need to consider $j = 2$. In this case, we have $XZ_2 = r^2s^{-2}Z_2X, Z_2Y = r^2s^{-2}YZ_2$. Using Lemma 4.3 (3), we know that each monomial in the expansion of $(X+Y)^{\ell}$ is of the form

$$Y^{\ell_1}Z^{\ell_2}X^{l_3}, \quad \ell_1 + 2\ell_2 + \ell_3 = \ell,$$

and note that the coefficient of $Y^{\ell_1}Z^{\ell_2}X^{\ell_3}$ is $\frac{[\ell]_{rs^{-1}}!}{[\ell_1]_{rs^{-1}}![\ell_3]_{rs^{-1}}![2\ell_2]_{rs^{-1}}!!}$. So we have $(X+Y)^{\ell} = X^{\ell}+Y^{\ell}$ by assumptions $[\ell]_{rs^{-1}} = 0$ and ℓ being odd. Thus, $\Delta(E_{23}^{\ell}) \in \mathcal{I}\otimes U + U\otimes\mathcal{I}$.

Step 3. Similarly, the results hold for the other quantum root vectors.

In order to show that $S(E_{\beta_i}^{\ell}) \in \mathcal{I}$, we use an induction of height of positive root β_i. It is trivial when height is 1, but we have

$$\Delta(E_{\beta_i}^{\ell}) = E_{\beta_i}^{\ell}\otimes 1 + \omega_{\beta_i}^{\ell}\otimes E_{\beta_i}^{\ell} + (*),$$

where $(*)$ is a linear combination of the terms $A\otimes B$, A and B are monomials of ω_k^{ℓ} $(1\leq k\leq 4), E_{\beta_j}^{\ell}(\mathrm{ht}\beta_j < \mathrm{ht}\beta_i)$, by the induction hypothesis, we have $S(E_{\beta_j}^{\ell}) \in \mathcal{I}$, moreover,

$$0 = \varepsilon(E_{\beta_i}^{\ell})1 = \mu\circ(S\otimes \mathrm{id})\circ\Delta(E_{\beta_i}^{\ell}),$$

by the property of antipode, so we get $S(E_{\beta_i}^{\ell}) + \omega_{\beta_i}^{-\ell}E_{\beta_i}^{\ell} \in \mathcal{I}$, i.e., $S(E_{\beta_i}^{\ell}) \in \mathcal{I}$.

(2) Using τ, we find that $\Delta(F_{\beta_i}^{\ell}) \in \mathcal{I}\otimes U + U\otimes\mathcal{I}, S(F_{\beta_i}^{\ell}) \in \mathcal{I}$. □

5. Isomorphisms of $\mathfrak{u}_{r,s}(F_4)$

Write $\mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{u}_{r,s} = \mathfrak{u}_{r,s}(F_4)$. Let G denote the group generated by ω_i, ω_i' $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant 4)$. We define subspaces $\{\mathfrak{a}_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ of $\mathfrak{u}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathfrak{a}_0 = \mathbb{K}G, \quad \mathfrak{a}_1 = \mathbb{K}G + \sum_{i=1}^{4}(\mathbb{K}E_iG + \mathbb{K}F_iG), \\ &\mathfrak{a}_k = (\mathfrak{a}_1)^k, \quad k \geq 1. \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

Note that $1 \in \mathfrak{a}_0, \Delta(\mathfrak{a}_0) \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_0 \otimes \mathfrak{a}_0$, and $\mathfrak{a}_1$ generates $\mathfrak{u}$ as algebra, moreover, we have $\Delta(\mathfrak{a}_1) \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{a}_0 + \mathfrak{a}_0 \otimes \mathfrak{a}_1$, so $\{\mathfrak{a}_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is a coalgebra filtration of $\mathfrak{u}$ and $\mathfrak{u}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_0$ by [**M**], where $\mathfrak{u}_0$ is the coradical of $\mathfrak{u}$, which is the sum of all simple subcoalgebras of $\mathfrak{u}$. On the other hand, any element of G spans a simple subcoalgebra of dimension 1, so $\mathfrak{a}_0$ is the sum of some simple subcoalgebras of $\mathfrak{u}$, hence $\mathfrak{a}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{u}_0$, therefore $\mathfrak{u}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_0 = \mathbb{K}G$. That is to say, all simple subcoalgebras of $\mathfrak{u}$ are of 1-dimensional, so $\mathfrak{u}$ is a finite-dimensional pointed Hopf algebra.

Let $\mathfrak{b}$ be the Hopf subalgebra of $\mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{u}_{r,s}(F_4)$ generated by E_i, $\omega_i^{\pm 1}$ $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant 4)$, $\mathfrak{b}'$ the Hopf subalgebra generated by F_i, $(\omega_i')^{\pm 1}$ $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant 4)$. The same argument shows that $\mathfrak{b}$ and $\mathfrak{b}'$ are pointed Hopf algebras. We have

Proposition 5.1. *The restricted two-parameter quantum group $\mathfrak{u}_{r,s}(F_4)$ and its Hopf subalgebras $\mathfrak{b}$ and $\mathfrak{b}'$ are finite-dimensional pointed Hopf algebras.* □

It follows from [**M**, Lemma 5.5.1] that $\mathfrak{a}_k \subseteq \mathfrak{u}_k$ for all k, where $\{\mathfrak{u}_k\}$ is the *coradical filtration* of $\mathfrak{u}$ defined inductively by $\mathfrak{u}_k = \Delta^{-1}(\mathfrak{u} \otimes \mathfrak{u}_{k-1} + \mathfrak{u}_0 \otimes \mathfrak{u})$. In particular, $\mathfrak{a}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{u}_1$. By [**M**, Theorem 5.4.1], as $\mathfrak{u}$ is pointed, $\mathfrak{u}_1$ is spanned by the set of group-like elements G, together with all the skew-primitive elements of $\mathfrak{u}$. We have

Lemma 5.2. *Assume that rs^{-1} is ℓth primitive root of unity, then*

$$\mathfrak{u}_1 = \mathbb{K}G + \sum_{i=1}^{4}(\mathbb{K}E_iG + \mathbb{K}F_iG).$$

Given two group-like elements g and h in a Hopf algebra H, let $P_{g,h}(H)$ denote the set of skew-primitive elements of H given by

$$P_{g,h}(H) = \{\, x \in H \mid \Delta(x) = x \otimes g + h \otimes x \,\}.$$

Lemma 5.3. *Assume that rs^{-1} is a primitive ℓth root of unity. Then*

(i) $P_{1,\omega_i}(\mathfrak{u}_{r,s}) = \mathbb{K}(1-\omega_i) + \mathbb{K}E_i$; $\quad P_{1,\omega_i'^{-1}}(\mathfrak{u}_{r,s}) = \mathbb{K}(1-\omega_i'^{-1}) + \mathbb{K}F_i\omega_i'^{-1}$;
$P_{1,\sigma}(\mathfrak{u}_{r,s}) = \mathbb{K}(1-\sigma)$, *for* $\sigma \notin \{\, \omega_i, \omega_i'^{-1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq 4 \,\}$.

(ii) $P_{\omega_i',1}(\mathfrak{u}_{r,s}) = \mathbb{K}(1-\omega_i') + \mathbb{K}F_i$; $\quad P_{\omega_i^{-1},1}(\mathfrak{u}_{r,s}) = \mathbb{K}(1-\omega_i^{-1}) + \mathbb{K}E_i\omega_i^{-1}$;
$P_{\sigma,1}(\mathfrak{u}_{r,s}) = \mathbb{K}(1-\sigma)$, *for* $\sigma \notin \{\, \omega_i^{-1}, \omega_i' \mid 1 \leq i \leq 4 \,\}$.

Proof. Since rs^{-1} is ℓ-th root of unity, we get

$$\mathbb{K}G + \sum_{g,h \in G} P_{g,h}(\mathfrak{u}) = \mathbb{K}G + \sum_{i=1}^{4}(\mathbb{K}E_iG + \mathbb{K}F_iG)$$

by Lemma 5.2, that is, each $x \in P_{1,\sigma}(\mathfrak{u})$ is of the form

$$x = \sum_{g \in G} \gamma_g g + \sum_{g \in G}\sum_{i=1}^{4} \alpha_{i,g} E_i g + \sum_{g \in G}\sum_{i=1}^{4} \beta_{i,g} F_i g, \tag{$*$}$$

where $\alpha_{i,g}, \beta_{i,g}, \gamma_g \in \mathbb{K}$. On the one hand, applying the co-multiplication to the right hand of equality $(*)$, we get that $\Delta(x)$ equals to

$$\sum_{g\in G}\gamma_g g\otimes g+\sum_{g\in G}\sum_{i=1}^{4}\alpha_{i,g}(E_ig\otimes g+\omega_ig\otimes E_ig)+\sum_{g\in G}\sum_{i=1}^{4}\beta_{i,g}(g\otimes F_ig+F_ig\otimes\omega_i'g).$$

On the other hand, since $\Delta(x)=x\otimes 1+\sigma\otimes x$, $\Delta(x)$ equals to

$$\sum_{g\in G}\gamma_g(g\otimes 1+\sigma\otimes g)+\sum_{g\in G}\sum_{i=1}^{4}\alpha_{i,g}(E_ig\otimes 1+\sigma\otimes E_ig)+\sum_{g\in G}\sum_{i=1}^{4}\beta_{i,g}(F_ig\otimes 1+\sigma\otimes F_ig).$$

By comparison, we get $\beta_{i,g}=0$ $(g\neq\omega_i'^{-1})$, $\alpha_{i,g}=0$ $(g\neq 1)$, $\gamma_\sigma=-\gamma_1$, $\gamma_g=0$ $(g\neq 1,\sigma)$. Comparing $\Delta(x)$ with $\Delta(\gamma_1(1-\sigma)+\sum_{i=1}^{4}\alpha_{i,1}E_i+\sum_{i=1}^{4}\beta_{1,\omega_i'^{-1}}F_i\omega_i'^{-1})$, we get: if $\sigma\notin\{\omega_i\mid 1\le i\le 4\}$, then $\alpha_{i,1}=0$; if $\sigma\notin\{\omega_i'^{-1}\mid 1\le i\le 4\}$, then $\beta_{i,\omega_i'^{-1}}=0$.

If $\sigma=\omega_i$ for some i, then $\alpha_{j,1}=0$ $(j\neq i)$, $\beta_{j,\omega_j'^{-1}}=0$, hence $x=\gamma_1(1-\omega_i)+\alpha_{i,1}E_i$; If $\sigma=\omega_i'^{-1}$ for some i, then $\beta_{j,\omega_j'^{-1}}=0$ $(j\neq i)$, $\alpha_{j,1}=0$, hence $x=\gamma_1(1-\omega_i'^{-1})+\beta_{i,\omega_i'^{-1}}F_i\omega_i'^{-1}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}P_{1,\omega_i}(\mathfrak{u})&=\mathbb{K}(1-\omega_i)+\mathbb{K}E_i,\quad 1\le i\le 4,\\ P_{1,\omega_i'^{-1}}(\mathfrak{u})&=\mathbb{K}(1-\omega_i'^{-1})+\mathbb{K}F_i\omega_i'^{-1},\quad 1\le i\le 4,\\ P_{1,\sigma}(\mathfrak{u})&=\mathbb{K}(1-\sigma),\quad \sigma\notin\{\,\omega_i,\omega_i'^{-1}\mid 1\le i\le 4\,\}.\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (i).

(ii) can be proved by the same argument. □

Now we prove the following theorem on isomorphisms:

THEOREM 5.4. *Assume that rs^{-1} and $r's'^{-1}$ are ℓth primitive roots of unity and $\ell\neq 3,4$, ζ is the 2-nd root of unity, then there exists an isomorphism of Hopf algebras $\varphi:\mathfrak{u}_{r,s}\to\mathfrak{u}_{r',s'}$ if and only if either*

(1) $(r',s')=\zeta(r,s)$:

$\varphi(\omega_i)=\widetilde{\omega}_i$, $\varphi(\omega_i')=\widetilde{\omega}_i'$, $\varphi(E_i)=a_i\widetilde{E}_i$, $\varphi(F_i)=\zeta^{\delta_{i,3}+\delta_{i,4}}a_i^{-1}\widetilde{F}_i$; *or*

(2) $(r',s')=\zeta(s,r)$:

$\varphi(\omega_i)=\widetilde{\omega}_i'^{-1}$, $\varphi(\omega_i')=\widetilde{\omega}_i^{-1}$, $\varphi(E_i)=a_i\widetilde{F}_i\widetilde{\omega}_i'^{-1}$, $\varphi(F_i)=\zeta^{\delta_{i,3}+\delta_{i,4}}a_i^{-1}\widetilde{\omega}_i^{-1}\widetilde{E_i}$, *where $a_i\in K^*$.*

Proof. Assume that $\varphi:\mathfrak{u}_{r,s}\to\mathfrak{u}_{r',s'}$ is a Hopf algebra isomorphism and $\widetilde{E}_i,\widetilde{F}_i,\widetilde{\omega}_i,\widetilde{\omega}_i'$ are generators of $\mathfrak{u}_{r',s'}$. Since φ is a morphism of coalgebras,

$$\Delta(\varphi(E_i))=(\varphi\otimes\varphi)\Delta(E_i)=\varphi(E_i)\otimes 1+\varphi(\omega_i)\otimes\varphi(E_i),$$

and $\varphi(\omega_i)\in\mathbb{K}\widetilde{G}$, i.e., $\varphi(E_i)\in P_{1,\varphi(\omega_i)}(\mathfrak{u}_{r',s'})$. Using Lemma 5.3 (i), we get $\varphi(\omega_i)\in\{\widetilde{\omega}_j,\widetilde{\omega}_j'^{-1}\mid 1\le j\le 4\}$. More precisely, either $\varphi(\omega_i)=\widetilde{\omega}_j$ and $\varphi(E_i)=a(1-\widetilde{\omega}_j)+b\widetilde{E}_j$, or $\varphi(\omega_i)=\widetilde{\omega}_j'^{-1}$ and $\varphi(E_i)=a(1-\widetilde{\omega}_j'^{-1})+b\widetilde{F}_j\widetilde{\omega}_j'^{-1}$ for some j and $a,b\in\mathbb{K}$. We have $a=0$ in all cases by applying φ to relations $\omega_iE_i=r_is_i^{-1}E_i\omega_i$ and assumption $r_i\neq s_i$. Thus $b\in K^*$.

We claim that the two cases cannot hold simultaneously. Indeed, suppose $\varphi(\omega_i)=\widetilde{\omega}_j$, $\varphi(\omega_t)=\widetilde{\omega}_k'^{-1}$ with $t\neq i$, then $\varphi(E_i)=a_j\widetilde{E}_j$, $\varphi(E_t)=a_k\widetilde{F}_k\widetilde{\omega}_k'^{-1}$ by the above discussion. Applying φ to relations $\omega_iE_i\omega_i^{-1}=\langle\omega_i',\omega_i\rangle E_i$, $\omega_tE_t\omega_t^{-1}=$

$\langle\omega'_t,\omega_t\rangle E_t$, we get: $r_is_i^{-1}=r'_js'^{-1}_j$, $r_ts_t^{-1}=r'^{-1}_ks'_k$. If $j=k$, we have one of three cases: $(rs^{-1})^2=1$, $(rs^{-1})^3=1$, $(rs^{-1})^4=1$, each of which contradicts to the assumption. If $j\neq k$, we have $(\widetilde{F}_k\widetilde{\omega}'^{-1}_k)\widetilde{E}_j=\langle\widetilde{\omega}'_k,\widetilde{\omega}_j\rangle\widetilde{E}_j(\widetilde{F}_k\widetilde{\omega}'^{-1}_k)$, applying φ^{-1}, we get $E_tE_i=\langle\widetilde{\omega}'_k,\widetilde{\omega}_j\rangle E_iE_t$, which contradicts to $[E_i,E_t]\neq 0$ with $|i-t|=1$. We can use ω_{i+1} or ω_{i+2} substitute ω_i when $|i-t|\geqslant 2$ and get the same result. So for each $1\leq i\leq 4$, only one of two cases holds: (i) $\varphi(\omega_i)\in\{\widetilde{\omega}_j\mid 1\leq j\leq 4\}$, (ii) $\varphi(\omega_i)\in\{\widetilde{\omega}'^{-1}_j\mid 1\leq j\leq 4\}$.

(i) We claim that $\varphi(\omega_i)=\widetilde{\omega}_i$ $(1\leq i\leq 4)$. Assume that $\varphi(\omega_2)=\widetilde{\omega}_j$, $\varphi(\omega_3)=\widetilde{\omega}_k$, then $\varphi(E_2)=a_j\widetilde{E}_j$, $\varphi(E_3)=a_k\widetilde{E}_k$. If $|j-k|>1$, then $\widetilde{E}_j\widetilde{E}_k-\widetilde{E}_k\widetilde{E}_j=0$, but $\varphi^{-1}(\widetilde{E}_j\widetilde{E}_k-\widetilde{E}_k\widetilde{E}_j)=(a_ja_k)^{-1}(E_2E_3-E_3E_2)\neq 0$, this is a contradiction, so $|j-k|=1$. If j and k are connected by one edge in Dynkin diagram, we have $\varphi^{-1}((\mathrm{ad}_l\widetilde{E}_{j+1})^{1-a_{j+1,j}}\widetilde{E}_j)\neq 0$ with $j<k$, $\varphi^{-1}((\mathrm{ad}_l\widetilde{E}_j)^{1-a_{j,j+1}}\widetilde{E}_{j+1})\neq 0$ with $j>k$, which is a contradiction. So we have $(j,k)=(3,2)$ or $(2,3)$. If $\varphi(\omega_2)=\widetilde{\omega}_3$, $\varphi(\omega_3)=\widetilde{\omega}_2$, applying φ to relations $\omega_2E_2=r^2s^{-2}E_2\omega_2$, $\omega_3E_3=rs^{-1}E_3\omega_3$, we get $r's'^{-1}=r^2s^{-2}$, $r'^2s'^{-2}=rs^{-1}$, so $(rs^{-1})^3=1$, which contradicts to the assumption. So we get $\varphi(\omega_2)=\widetilde{\omega}_2$, $\varphi(\omega_3)=\widetilde{\omega}_3$. Assume that $\varphi(\omega_1)=\widetilde{\omega}_{j_1}$, then j_1 and 2 are connected in Dynkin diagram, but φ is a bijection, so $\varphi(\omega_1)=\widetilde{\omega}_1$, $\varphi(\omega_4)=\widetilde{\omega}_4$.

The same argument shows that for $1\leq i\leq 4$, $\varphi(\omega'_i)=\widetilde{\omega}'_i$, $\varphi(F_i)=b_i\widetilde{F}_i$. Applying φ to relations $\omega_iE_j=\langle\omega'_j,\,\omega_i\rangle E_j\omega_i$, $i,j\in\{2,3\}$, we get $\langle\widetilde{\omega}'_j,\,\widetilde{\omega}_i\rangle=\langle\omega'_j,\,\omega_i\rangle$, or $r'^2s'^{-2}=r^2s^{-2}$, $r's'^{-1}=rs^{-1}$, $r'^{-2}=r^{-2}$, $s'^2=s^2$, so $(r',s')=\zeta(r,s)$, applying φ to relations $[E_i,F_i]=\frac{\omega_i-\omega'_i}{r_i-s_i}$, we get $\varphi(F_i)=\zeta^{\delta_{i,3}+\delta_{i,4}}a_i^{-1}\widetilde{F}_i$ $(1\leq i\leq 4)$. It is clear that φ preserves all (r,s)-Serre relations, so φ is an isomorphism of Hopf algebras.

(ii) The same argument shows that $\varphi(\omega_i)=\widetilde{\omega}_i'^{-1}$ $(1\leq i\leq 4)$ and $\varphi(\omega'_i)=\widetilde{\omega}_i^{-1}$, $\varphi(E_i)=a_i\widetilde{F}_i\widetilde{\omega}_i'^{-1}$, $\varphi(F_i)=b_i\widetilde{\omega}_i^{-1}\widetilde{E}_i$. Applying φ to relations $\omega_iE_j=\langle\omega'_j,\omega_i\rangle E_j\omega_i$, $i,j\in\{2,3\}$, we get $\langle\widetilde{\omega}_i',\widetilde{\omega}_j\rangle^{-1}=\langle\omega'_j,\omega_i\rangle$, or $r'^{-2}s'^2=r^2s^{-2}$, $r'^{-1}s'=rs^{-1}$, $r'^2=s^2$, $s'^{-2}=r^{-2}$, so $(r',s')=\zeta(s,r)$, applying φ to relations $[E_i,F_i]=\frac{\omega_i-\omega'_i}{r_i-s_i}$, we get $\varphi(F_i)=\zeta^{\delta_{i,3}+\delta_{i,4}}a_i^{-1}\widetilde{\omega}_i^{-1}\widetilde{E}_i$.

It is clear that φ preserves all (r,s)-Serre relations, so φ is an isomorphism of Hopf algebras. □

Remark 5.5. The above isomorphism Theorem 5.4 is important for our seeking for the possible new finite-dimensional pointed (graded) Hopf algebras of type F_4 especially when the order ℓ of root of unity is low, for instance, $\ell=5,7$ that don't satisfy the assuming condition: $(\ell,210)=1$ as in [**AS2**], as has been witnessed by Benkart-Pereira-Witherspoon in their Example 5.6 [**BPW**], where two-parameter quantum groups $\mathfrak{u}_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$'s with $\ell=4$ can be reduced to two non-isomorphic one-parameter ones $\mathfrak{u}_{q,q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$ and $\mathfrak{u}_{1,q}(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$ by terms of the Isomorphism Theorem 5.5 for type A established by the last two authors in [**HW2**]. Based on the example observed by Benkart-Pereira-Witherspoon in type A, we dare to conjecture that there should exist the possible new finite-dimensional pointed Hopf algebras of type F_4 under the assumption $\ell=5,7$ that are simultaneously graded rather than filtered (via the lifting method proposed by Andruskiewitsch-Schneider in [**AS1**]).

6. $\mathfrak{u}_{r,s}(F_4)$ is a Drinfel'd double

Let θ be a primitive ℓth root of unity in $\mathbb{K}$, and write $r=\theta^y$, $s=\theta^z$.

LEMMA 6.1. *Assume that* $(4(y^4+z^4-y^2z^2),\ell)=1$ *and* rs^{-1} *is a primitive* ℓ*th root of unity, then there exists a Hopf algebra isomorphism* $(\mathfrak{b}')^{coop}\cong\mathfrak{b}^*$.

Proof. Define $\gamma_j\in\mathfrak{b}^*$ such that γ_j's are algebra homomorphisms with

$$\gamma_j(E_i)=0,\ \text{ and }\ \gamma_j(\omega_i)=\langle\omega_j',\omega_i\rangle\quad 1\leqslant i,j\leqslant 4.$$

So they are group-like elements in $\mathfrak{b}^*$. Define $\eta_j=\sum\limits_{g\in G(\mathfrak{b})}(E_jg)^*$, where $G(\mathfrak{b})$ is the group generated by ω_i $(1\le i\le 4)$ and the asterisk denotes the dual basis element relative to the PBW-basis of $\mathfrak{b}$. The isomorphism $\phi:(\mathfrak{b}')^{coop}\to\mathfrak{b}^*$ is defined by

$$\phi(\omega_j')=\gamma_j,\qquad \phi(F_j)=\eta_j.$$

First, we will check that ϕ is a Hopf algebra homomorphism and then we will show that it is a bijection.

Clearly, the γ_j's are invertible elements in $\mathfrak{b}^*$ that commute with one another and $\gamma_j^\ell=1$. Note that $\eta_j^\ell=0$, as it is 0 on any basis element of $\mathfrak{b}$. We calculate $\gamma_j\eta_i\gamma_j^{-1}$: It is nonzero only on basis elements of the form $E_i\omega_1^{k_1}\cdots\omega_4^{k_4}$, and on such an element it takes the value

$$\begin{aligned}&(\gamma_j\otimes\eta_i\otimes\gamma_j^{-1})((E_i\otimes 1\otimes 1+\omega_i\otimes E_i\otimes 1+\omega_i\otimes\omega_i\otimes E_i)(\omega_1^{k_1}\cdots\omega_4^{k_4})^{\otimes 3})\\&=\gamma_j(\omega_i\omega_1^{k_1}\cdots\omega_4^{k_4})\eta_i(E_i\omega_1^{k_1}\cdots\omega_4^{k_4})\gamma_j^{-1}(\omega_1^{k_1}\cdots\omega_4^{k_4})\\&=\gamma_j(\omega_i).\end{aligned}$$

So, we have $\gamma_j\eta_i\gamma_j^{-1}=\langle\omega_j',\omega_i\rangle\,\eta_i$, which corresponds to relation (F3) for $\mathfrak{b}'$.

Next, with the same argument in the proof of Lemma 5.1 in [**HW1**] (see, pp. 263–264), we easily check that the same relations on η_i, η_j as those for f_i, f_j in (F6). Hence, ϕ is an algebra homomorphism.

We have already seen that γ_i is a group-like element in $\mathfrak{b}^*$. Now using the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 5.1 in [**HW1**] (see, pp. 264–265), we have $\Delta(\eta_i)=\eta_i\otimes 1+\gamma_i\otimes\eta_i$, which means that ϕ is a Hopf algebra homomorphism.

Finally, we show that ϕ is a bijection. As $\dim\mathfrak{b}^*=\dim(\mathfrak{b}')^{coop}$, it suffices to prove that ϕ is injective. By [**M**], we need only to prove that $\phi|_{(\mathfrak{b}')_1^{coop}}$ is injective. Using Lemma 5.3, we get $(\mathfrak{b}')_1^{coop}=\mathbb{K}G(\mathfrak{b}')+\sum\limits_{i=1}^{4}\mathbb{K}F_iG(\mathfrak{b}')$, where $G(\mathfrak{b}')$ is the group generated by ω_i' $(1\le i\le 4)$. We claim that

$$\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{K}}\{\gamma_1^{k_1}\cdots\gamma_4^{k_4}\mid 0\le k_i<\ell\}=\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{K}}\{(\omega_1^{k_1}\cdots\omega_4^{k_4})^*\mid 0\le k_i<\ell\}.$$

This is equivalent to saying that the $\gamma_1^{k_1}\cdots\gamma_4^{k_4}$'s span the space of characters over $\mathbb{K}$ of the finite group $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ generated by $\omega_1,\cdots,\omega_4$. Note that all irreducible characters are linearly independent in $(\mathbb{K}G(\mathfrak{b}))^*$. Since $\mathbb{K}$ contains θ a primitive ℓth root of unity, any irreducible characters are the functions χ_{i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4} given by $\chi_{i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4}(\omega_1^{k_1}\omega_2^{k_2}\omega_3^{k_3}\omega_4^{k_4})=\theta^{i_1k_1+i_2k_2+i_3k_3+i_4k_4}$. Note that $\gamma_1=\chi_{2(y-z),-2y,0,0},\gamma_2=\chi_{2z,2(y-z),-2y,0},\gamma_3=\chi_{0,2z,y-z,-y},\gamma_4=\chi_{0,0,z,y-z}$. Now we have to show that given i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4, there exist x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4, such that $\chi_{i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4}=\gamma_1^{x_1}\gamma_2^{x_2}\gamma_3^{x_3}\gamma_4^{x_4}$, which is equivalent to the existence of a solution to the linear equation $Ax=I$ in $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$, where

$$A=\begin{pmatrix}2(y-z)&2z&0&0\\-2y&2(y-z)&2z&0\\0&-2y&y-z&z\\0&0&-y&y-z\end{pmatrix},$$

x is the transpose of (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4), I is the transpose of (i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4). Note that $\det A = 4(y^4 + z^4 - y^2z^2)$, A is invertible when $(4(y^4 + z^4 - y^2z^2), l) = 1$, so the equation has a unique solution which proves our claim. In particular, this implies that the matrix

$$((\gamma_1^{k_1}\gamma_2^{k_2}\gamma_3^{k_3}\gamma_4^{k_4})(\omega_1^{j_1}\omega_2^{j_2}\omega_3^{j_3}\omega_4^{j_4}))_{\overline{k},\overline{j}}$$

is invertible, and $\phi|_{\mathbb{K}G(\mathfrak{b}')}$ is bijective.

Now we shall show for each i $(1 \le i \le 4)$ that the following matrix is invertible:

$$((\eta_i\gamma_1^{k_1}\gamma_2^{k_2}\gamma_3^{k_3}\gamma_4^{k_4})(E_i\omega_1^{j_1}\omega_2^{j_2}\omega_3^{j_3}\omega_4^{j_4}))_{\overline{k},\overline{j}}.$$

This will complete the proof that ϕ is injective on $(\mathfrak{b}')_1^{\mathrm{coop}}$, as desired. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\eta_i\gamma_1^{k_1}\gamma_2^{k_2}\gamma_3^{k_3}\gamma_4^{k_4})(E_i\omega_1^{j_1}\omega_2^{j_2}\omega_3^{j_3}\omega_4^{j_4})\\
&\quad = (\eta_i \otimes \gamma_1^{k_1}\gamma_2^{k_2}\gamma_3^{k_3}\gamma_4^{k_4})(\Delta(E_i)\Delta(\omega_1^{j_1}\omega_2^{j_2}\omega_3^{j_3}\omega_4^{j_4}))\\
&\quad = (\eta_i \otimes \gamma_1^{k_1}\gamma_2^{k_2}\gamma_3^{k_3}\gamma_4^{k_4})(E_i\omega_1^{j_1}\omega_2^{j_2}\omega_3^{j_3}\omega_4^{j_4} \otimes \omega_1^{j_1}\omega_2^{j_2}\omega_3^{j_3}\omega_4^{j_4})\\
&\quad = (\gamma_1^{k_1}\gamma_2^{k_2}\gamma_3^{k_3}\gamma_4^{k_4})(\omega_1^{j_1}\omega_2^{j_2}\omega_3^{j_3}\omega_4^{j_4}),
\end{aligned}$$

the matrix associated with $\phi|_{(\mathfrak{b}')_1^{coop}}$ is block upper-triangular with the same block each and invertible. So $\phi|_{(\mathfrak{b}')_1^{coop}}$ is injective.

This completes the proof. □

With the same argument of Theorem 4.8 in [**BW3**], we have

THEOREM 6.2. *Assume that* $(4(y^4+z^4-y^2z^2), \ell) = 1$*, then there exists a Hopf algebra isomorphism* $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{b}) \cong \mathfrak{u}_{r,s}(F_4)$. □

7. Integrals

In this section, we determine the left and right integrals in the Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}$ of $\mathfrak{u}_{r,s}(F_4)$, which play an important role in knot invariant theory. Let H be a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra. An element $y \in H$ is a *left* (resp., *right*) *integral* if $ay = \varepsilon(a)y$ (resp., $ya = \varepsilon(a)y$) for all $a \in H$. The left (resp., right) integrals form a one-dimensional ideal $\int_H^l$ (resp., $\int_H^r$) of H, and $S_H(\int_H^r) = \int_H^l$ under the antipode S_H of H.

When $y \neq 0$ is a left integral of H, there exists a unique group-like element γ in the dual algebra H^* (the so-called *distinguished group-like element* of H^*) such that $ya = \gamma(a)y$. If we had begun instead with a right integral $y' \in H$, then we would have $ay' = \gamma^{-1}(a)y'$. This follows from the fact that group-like elements are invertible, and the following calculation, which can be found in [**M**, p.22]: When $y' \in \int_H^r$, $S_H(y')$ is a nonzero multiple of y, so that $S_H(y')S_H(a) = \gamma(S_H(a))S_H(y')$ for all $a \in H$. Applying S_H^{-1}, we find $ay' = \gamma(S_H(a))y'$. As γ is group-like, we have $\gamma(S_H(a)) = S_{H^*}(\gamma)(a) = \gamma^{-1}(a)$.

Now if $\lambda \neq 0$ is a right integral of H^*, then there exists a unique group-like element g of H (the *distinguished group-like element* of H) such that $\xi\lambda = \xi(g)\lambda$ for all $\xi \in H^*$. The algebra H is *unimodular* (i.e., $\int_H^l = \int_H^r$) if and only if $\gamma = \varepsilon$; and the dual algebra H^* is unimodular if and only if $g = 1$.

The left and right H^*-module actions on H are given by

$$\xi \rightharpoonup a = \sum a_{(1)}\xi(a_{(2)}), \qquad a \leftharpoonup \xi = \sum \xi(a_{(1)})a_{(2)}, \tag{7.1}$$

for all $\xi \in H^*$ and $a \in H$. In particular, $\varepsilon \rightharpoonup a = a = a \leftharpoonup \varepsilon$ for all $a \in H$.

THEOREM 7.1. *Let* $t = \prod_{i=1}^{4}(1+\omega_i+\cdots+\omega_i^{\ell-1})$ *and* $x = E_{\beta_{24}}^{\ell-1}E_{\beta_{23}}^{\ell-1}\cdots E_{\beta_1}^{\ell-1}$, *then* $y = tx$ (*resp.*, $y' = xt$) *is a left* (*resp.*, *right*) *integral in* $\mathfrak{b}$.

Proof. We have to show that $by = \varepsilon(b)y$ holds for all $b \in \mathfrak{b}$. It suffices to verify this for the generators ω_k and E_k since ε is an algebra homomorphism.

Observe that $\omega_k t = t = \varepsilon(\omega_k)t$ for all $k = 1,2,3,4$, as the ω_i's commute and $\omega_k(1+\omega_k+\cdots+\omega_k^{\ell-1}) = 1+\omega_k+\cdots+\omega_k^{\ell-1}$. From that, relation $\omega_k y = \varepsilon(\omega_k)y$ is clear, for $1 \le k \le 4$.

Now we compute $E_k y$. We get

$$E_k t = \prod_{i=1}^{4}(1+\langle\omega_k',\omega_i\rangle^{-1}\omega_i+\cdots+\langle\omega_k',\omega_i\rangle^{-(\ell-1)}\omega_i^{\ell-1})E_k$$

from a direct computation. It remains to verify that $E_k x = 0 = \varepsilon(E_k)x$.

We first prove a more general result: for $1 \le i \le j \le 24$, let

$$\widehat{E_{j,i}} = E_{\beta_j}^{\ell-1}E_{\beta_{j-1}}^{\ell-1}\cdots E_{\beta_i}^{\ell-1},$$

we will prove $E_{\beta_i}\widehat{E_{j,i}} = 0$ by induction on $j-i$.

If $j-i=0$, then $E_{\beta_i}E_{\beta_i}^{\ell-1} = E_{\beta_i}^{\ell} = 0$. Assume that the result holds for $j-i \le n$, if $j-i = n+1$, using Lemma 3.10 for $p = \langle\omega_{\beta_j}',\omega_{\beta_j}\rangle, q = \langle\omega_{\beta_j}',\omega_{\beta_i}\rangle$ and Theorem 3.9, we get

$$E_{\beta_i}E_{\beta_j}^{\ell-1} = q^{\ell-1}E_{\beta_j}^{\ell-1}E_{\beta_i} + \sum_{k=1}^{\ell-1} q^k \begin{bmatrix}\ell-1\\ k\end{bmatrix}_p E_{\beta_j}^{k}\left((ad_q E_{\beta_j})_R^{\ell-1-k}E_{\beta_i}\right),$$

where $(ad_q E_{\beta_j})_R^{\ell-1-k}E_{\beta_i} \in B_{i,j}$. So

$$\begin{aligned}E_{\beta_i}\widehat{E_{j,i}} &= E_{\beta_i}(E_{\beta_j}^{\ell-1}E_{\beta_{j-1}}^{\ell-1}\cdots E_{\beta_i}^{\ell-1})\\ &= q^{\ell-1}E_{\beta_j}^{\ell-1}E_{\beta_i}(E_{\beta_{j-1}}^{\ell-1}E_{\beta_{j-2}}^{\ell-1}\cdots E_{\beta_i}^{\ell-1})\\ &\quad+\sum_{k=1}^{\ell-1} q^k \begin{bmatrix}\ell-1\\ k\end{bmatrix}_p E_{\beta_j}^{k}\left((ad_q E_{\beta_j})_R^{\ell-1-k}E_{\beta_i}\right)(E_{\beta_{j-1}}^{\ell-1}E_{\beta_{j-2}}^{\ell-1}\cdots E_{\beta_i}^{\ell-1}).\end{aligned}$$

By the induction hypothesis, we have

$$E_{\beta_i}(E_{\beta_{j-1}}^{\ell-1}E_{\beta_{j-2}}^{\ell-1}\cdots E_{\beta_i}^{\ell-1}) = 0,$$

for every $E_{\beta_t} \in B_{i,j}$, $i < t < j$. By the induction hypothesis, we have

$$E_{\beta_t}(E_{\beta_{j-1}}^{\ell-1}E_{\beta_{j-2}}^{\ell-1}\cdots E_{\beta_i}^{\ell-1}) = E_{\beta_t}(E_{\beta_{j-1}}^{\ell-1}E_{\beta_{j-2}}^{\ell-1}\cdots E_{\beta_t}^{\ell-1})E_{\beta_{t-1}}^{\ell-1}\cdots E_{\beta_i}^{\ell-1} = 0,$$

therefore, $E_{\beta_i}\widehat{E_{j,i}} = 0$, in particular, $E_k x = 0, 1 \le k \le 4$.

The proof for the right integral is similar. □

A finite-dimensional Hopf algebra H is semisimple if and only if $\varepsilon(\int_H^l) \neq 0$ or $\varepsilon(\int_H^r) \neq 0$. For $H = \mathfrak{b}$, y and y' are respectively bases of $\int_{\mathfrak{b}}^l$ and $\int_{\mathfrak{b}}^r$, we get $\varepsilon(y) = 0 = \varepsilon(y')$ by Theorem 7.1, so we get

COROLLARY 7.2. $\mathfrak{b}$ *is a finite-dimensional nonsemisimple pointed Hopf algebra.*
□

8. To be a ribbon Hopf algebra

A finite-dimensional Hopf algebra H is *quasitriangular* if there is an invertible element $R = \sum x_i \otimes y_i$ in $H \otimes H$ such that $\Delta^{op}(a) = R\Delta(a)R^{-1}$ for all $a \in H$, and R satisfies the relations $(\Delta \otimes id)R = R_{13}R_{23}$, $(id \otimes \Delta)R = R_{13}R_{12}$, where $R_{12} = \sum x_i \otimes y_i \otimes 1$, $R_{13} = \sum x_i \otimes 1 \otimes y_i$, and $R_{23} = \sum 1 \otimes x_i \otimes y_i$.

Write $u = \sum S(y_i)x_i$. Then $c = uS(u)$ is central in H (cf. [**Ka**]) and is referred to as the *Casimir element*.

An element $v \in H$ is a *quasi-ribbon element* of quasitriangular Hopf algebra (H, R) if (i) $v^2 = c$, (ii) $S(v) = v$, (iii) $\varepsilon(v) = 1$, (iv) $\Delta(v) = (R_{21}R)^{-1}(v \otimes v)$, where $R_{21} = \sum y_i \otimes x_i$. If moreover v is central in H, then v is a *ribbon element*, and (H, R, v) is called a *ribbon Hopf algebra*. Ribbon elements provide a very effective means of constructing invariants of 3-manifolds (cf. [**RT**]).

The Drinfel'd double $D(A)$ of a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra A is quasitriangular, and Kauffman-Radford ([**KR**]) proved a criterion for $D(A)$ to be ribbon.

THEOREM 8.1. ([KR, Thm. 3]) *Assume A is a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra, let g and γ be the distinguished group-like elements of A and A^* respectively. Then*

(i) *$(D(A), R)$ has a quasi-ribbon element if and only if there exist group-like elements $h \in A$, $\delta \in A^*$ such that $h^2 = g$, $\delta^2 = \gamma$.*

(ii) *$(D(A), R)$ has a ribbon element if and only if there exist h and δ as in* (i) *such that $S^2(a) = h(\delta \rightharpoonup a \leftharpoonup \delta^{-1})h^{-1}$, $\forall\, a \in A$.*

Next we determine the distinguished group-like elements in $\mathfrak{b}$ and $\mathfrak{b}^*$, since all group-like elements are precisely the algebra homomorphisms in $\mathrm{Alg}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{b}, \mathbb{K})$. To verify that it is distinguished, it suffices to consider its values on generators.

PROPOSITION 8.2. *Let $2\rho = 16\alpha_1 + 30\alpha_2 + 42\alpha_3 + 22\alpha_4$, where ρ is the half sum of positive roots of the root system F_4. Let $\gamma \in Alg_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{b}, \mathbb{K})$ be defined by*

$$\gamma(E_k) = 0, \quad \gamma(\omega_k) = \langle \omega_1', \omega_k \rangle^{16} \langle \omega_2', \omega_k \rangle^{30} \langle \omega_3', \omega_k \rangle^{42} \langle \omega_4', \omega_k \rangle^{22},$$

then γ is a distinguished group-like element in $\mathfrak{b}^*$.

Proof. It suffices to verify $ya = \gamma(a)y$ for $a = E_k$, $a = \omega_k$ $(1 \le k \le 4)$ and $y = tx$. It follows from the proof of Theorem 7.1 that $xE_k = 0$ $(1 \le k \le 4)$, so $yE_k = txE_k = 0 = \gamma(E_k)y$, and

$$\begin{aligned} y\omega_k &= tx\omega_k \\ &= t(E_{\beta_{24}}^{\ell-1} E_{\beta_{23}}^{\ell-1} \cdots E_{\beta_1}^{\ell-1})\omega_k \\ &= \langle \omega_1', \omega_k \rangle^{-16(\ell-1)} \langle \omega_2', \omega_k \rangle^{-30(\ell-1)} \langle \omega_3', \omega_k \rangle^{-42(\ell-1)} \langle \omega_4', \omega_k \rangle^{-22(\ell-1)} t\omega_k x \\ &= \langle \omega_1', \omega_k \rangle^{16} \langle \omega_2', \omega_k \rangle^{30} \langle \omega_3', \omega_k \rangle^{42} \langle \omega_4', \omega_k \rangle^{22} t\omega_k x \\ &= \gamma(\omega_k)y, \end{aligned}$$

where $1 \le k \le 4$. □

With the assumption of Lemma 6.1, there exists a Hopf algebra isomorphism $\phi : (\mathfrak{b}')^{coop} \to \mathfrak{b}^*$, $\phi(\omega_j') = \gamma_j$, $\phi(F_j) = \eta_j$, which induces a Hopf pairing $(\cdot|\cdot) : \mathfrak{b}' \times \mathfrak{b} \to \mathbb{K}$, where

$$(F_j|E_i) = \delta_{ij}, \quad (\omega_j'|\omega_i) = \langle \omega_j', \omega_i \rangle,$$

and $(\cdot|\cdot)$ takes value 0 on the other pairs of generators. Write $\omega_{2\rho}' = \omega_1'^{16}\omega_2'^{30}\omega_3'^{42}\omega_4'^{22}$, then $(\omega_{2\rho}'|b) = \gamma(b)$ holds for all $b \in \mathfrak{b}$, that is, $\gamma = (\omega_{2\rho}'|\cdot)$.

Note that there is a Hopf algebra isomorphism $\mathfrak{b}_{s^{-1},r^{-1}} \cong (\mathfrak{b}')^{coop} \cong \mathfrak{b}^*$, which is the composition $\phi\psi^{-1}$, where $\psi(F_i) = E_i$, $\psi(\omega_i') = \omega_i$. Any left (resp., right) integral in $\mathfrak{b}$ is mapped by $\phi\psi^{-1}$ to a left (resp., right) integral in $\mathfrak{b}^*$, so we get

PROPOSITION 8.3. *Let $\lambda = \nu\eta, \lambda' = \eta\nu \in \mathfrak{b}^*$, where*

$$\nu = \prod_{i=0}^{4}(1+\gamma_i+\cdots+\gamma_i^{\ell-1}),\ \eta = \eta_4^{\ell-1}\eta_{34}^{\ell-1}\cdots\eta_{12}^{\ell-1}\eta_1^{\ell-1},$$

where for each good Lyndon word w, $\eta_w = [\eta_u, \eta_v]_{\langle\omega_u',\omega_v\rangle^{-1}}$, where $w = uv$ is the Lyndon decomposition of w, then λ, λ' are left and right integrals in $\mathfrak{b}^*$. (Caution: η_w here is different from that in the proof of Theorem 6.1). □

PROPOSITION 8.4. *$g = \omega_{2\rho}^{-1}$ is a distinguished group-like element in $\mathfrak{b}$, and for all $1 \le i \le 4$, we have*

$$(\omega_i' \mid g) = \langle\omega_i', \omega_1\rangle^{-16}\langle\omega_i', \omega_2\rangle^{-30}\langle\omega_i', \omega_3\rangle^{-42}\langle\omega_i', \omega_4\rangle^{-22} = \gamma_i(g).$$

Proof. Let $F = F_{\beta_{24}}^{\ell-1}F_{\beta_{23}}^{\ell-1}\cdots F_{\beta_1}^{\ell-1}$, then

$$\omega_k' F = \langle\omega_i', \omega_1\rangle^{-16}\langle\omega_i', \omega_2\rangle^{-30}\langle\omega_i', \omega_3\rangle^{-42}\langle\omega_i', \omega_4\rangle^{-22} F\omega_k'.$$

Since $\phi^{-1}(\lambda') = F\prod_{i=1}^{4}(1+\omega_i'+\cdots+\omega_i'^{\ell-1})$, we have

$$\gamma_k\lambda' = \langle\omega_i', \omega_1\rangle^{-16}\langle\omega_i', \omega_2\rangle^{-30}\langle\omega_i', \omega_3\rangle^{-42}\langle\omega_i', \omega_4\rangle^{-22}\lambda'$$

and $\eta_k\lambda' = 0$. So we get $\xi\lambda' = \xi(g)\lambda'$ for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{b}^*$. □

THEOREM 8.5. *Assume that r and s are ℓ-th roots of unity, then $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{b})$ has a ribbon element.*

Proof. By Proposition 8.4, we know that $g = \omega_{2\rho}^{-1}$ is a distinguished group-like element in $\mathfrak{b}$. Let $h = \omega_\rho^{-1} \in \mathfrak{b}$, then h is a group-like element in $\mathfrak{b}$ and $h^2 = g$. Set $\delta = (\omega_\rho'|\cdot)$. As $\gamma = (\omega_{2\rho}'|\cdot)$, δ is a group-like element in $\mathfrak{b}$ and $\delta^2 = \gamma$, where

$$\delta(E_k) = 0, \quad \delta(\omega_k) = \langle\omega_1', \omega_k\rangle^8\langle\omega_2', \omega_k\rangle^{15}\langle\omega_3', \omega_k\rangle^{21}\langle\omega_4', \omega_k\rangle^{11}, \quad 1 \le k \le 4.$$

Next we calculate:

$$h(\delta \rightharpoonup \omega_k \leftharpoonup \delta^{-1})h^{-1} = \delta(\omega_k)\delta^{-1}(\omega_k)h\omega_k h^{-1} = \omega_k = S^2(\omega_k),$$

$$\begin{aligned} h(\delta \rightharpoonup E_k \leftharpoonup \delta^{-1})h^{-1} &= \delta(1)\delta^{-1}(\omega_k)hE_kh^{-1} \\ &= \langle\omega_1', \omega_k\rangle^{-8}\langle\omega_2', \omega_k\rangle^{-15}\langle\omega_3', \omega_k\rangle^{-21}\langle\omega_4', \omega_k\rangle^{-11}hE_kh^{-1} \\ &= \langle\omega_\rho', \omega_k\rangle^{-1}\langle\omega_k', \omega_\rho\rangle^{-1}E_k \\ &= \omega_k^{-1}E_k\omega_k \\ &= S^2(E_k). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof by Theorem 8.1. □

Under the assumption of Theorem 6.2, we have $\mathfrak{u}_{r,s}(F_4) \cong \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{b})$, so we get

COROLLARY 8.6. *Assume that θ is a primitive ℓ-th root of unity in $\mathbb{K}$, $r = \theta^y, s = \theta^z$, and $(4(y^4+z^4-y^2z^2), \ell) = 1$, then $\mathfrak{u}_{r,s}(F_4)$ is a ribbon Hopf algebra.* □

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

N.H. Hu would like to thank for the support of a two-months invited guest professor fellowship from the University of Paris Diderot during April 1st to May 31, 2016, as well as the hospitality of Professor Marc Rosso and his colleagues. X.L. Wang was indebted to host Professor Igor Pak for his kind invitation and help when she visited University of California, Los Angeles, from Sept. of 2015 to August of 2016.

References

[AS1] N. Andruskiewitsch and H.-J. Schneider, *Lifting of quantum linear spaces and pointed Hopf algebras of order p^3*, J. Algebra **209** (2) (1998), 658–691.

[AS2] —, *On the classification of finite-dimensional pointed Hopf algebras*, Ann. of Math. (2) **171** (1) (2010), 375C-417.

[B] C. Blumen, *Two generalisations of the binomial theorem*, Australian Math. Soc. Gazette, **33** (2006), 39–43.

[BGH1] N. Bergeron, Y. Gao and N. Hu, *Drinfel'd doubles and Lusztig's symmetries of two-parameter quantum groups*, (arXiv:math/0505614), J. Algebra **301** (2006), 378–405.

[BGH2] N. Bergeron, Y. Gao and N. Hu, *Representations of two-parameter quantum orthogonal groups and symplectic groups*, (arXiv math. QA/0510124), AMS/IP, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. **39**, pp. 1–21, 2007.

[BH] X. Bai and N. Hu, *Two-parameter quantum group of exceptional type E-series and convex PBW type basis*, (arXiv.math.QA/0605179), Algebra Colloq., **15** (4) (2008), 619–636.

[BPW] G. Benkart, M. Pereira, and S. Witherspoon, *Yetter-Drinfeld modules under cocycle twists*, J. Algebra **324** (11) (2010), 2990–3006.

[BW1] G. Benkart and S. Witherspoon, *Two-parameter quantum groups (of type A) and Drinfel'd doubles*, Algebra Represent. Theory, **7** (2004), 261–286.

[BW2] G. Benkart and S. Witherspoon, *Representations of two-parameter quantum groups (of type A) and Schur-Weyl duality*, in "Hopf Algebras", Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., **237**, pp. 65–92, Dekker, New York, 2004.

[BW3] G. Benkart and S. Witherspoon, *Restricted two-parameter quantum groups (of type A)*, Fields Institute Communications, "Representations of Finite Dimensional Algebras and Related Topics in Lie Theory and Geometry",vol. **40**, pp. 293–318, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.

[CX] V. Chari and N. Xi, *Monomial bases of quantized enveloping algebras*, in "Recent developments in quantum affine algebras and related topics", Contemp. Math. vol. **216**, pp. 23–57, Amer. Math. Soc., 2001.

[DK] C. De Concini and V. Kac, *Representations of quantum groups at a root of* 1, in "Operator Algebras, Unitary Representations, Enveloping Algebras, and Invariant Theory (Paris, 1989)," A. Connes, M. Duflo, A. Joseph, and R. Rentschler eds., Progress in Math. **92**, pp. 471–506, Birkhäuser Boston, 1990.

[Dr] V.G. Drinfel'd, *Quantum groups*, in "Proceedings ICM" (Berkeley 1986), pp. 798–820, Amer. Math. Soc. 1987.

[GHZ] Y. Gao, N. Hu and H. Zhang, *Two-parameter quantum affine algebra of type $G_2^{(1)}$, Drinfeld realization and vertex representation*, J. Math. Phys. **56** (1) (2015), 011704, 27 pp.

[HP] N. Hu and Y. Pei, *Notes on two-parameter quantum groups, (I)*, (arXiv.math.QA/0702298), Sci. in China, Ser. A. **51** (6) (2008), 1101–1110.

[HRZ] N. Hu, M. Rosso and H. Zhang, *Two-parameter affine quantum group $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_n})$, Drinfel'd realization and quantum affine Lyndon basis*, (arXiv:0812.3107), Comm. Math. Phys. **278** (2) (2008), 453–486.

[HS] N. Hu and Q. Shi, *The two-parameter quantum group of exceptional type G_2 and Lusztig symmetries*, (arXiv:math/0601444), Pacific J. Math., **230** (2) (2007), 327–346.

[HW1] N. Hu and X. Wang, *Convex PBW-type Lyndon basis and restricted two-parameter quantum groups of type G_2*, Pacific J. Math. **241** (2) (2009), 243–273.

[HW2] —, *Convex PBW-type Lyndon basis and restricted two-parameter quantum groups of type B*, J. Geom. Phys., **60** (2010) 430–453.

[HZ1] N. Hu and H. Zhang, *Generating functions with τ-invariance and vertex representations of quantum affine algebras $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ (I): simply-laced cases*, (preprint 2006-2007), arXiv:1401.4925.

[HZ2] —, *Two-parameter quantum affine algebra of type $C_n^{(1)}$, Drinfeld realization and vertex representation*, J. Algebra **459** (2016), 43–75. arXiv:1509.02271.

[Ka] C. Kassel, *Quantum Groups*, GTM **155**, Springer-Verlag Berlin/Heidelberg/New York, 1995.

[K] V.K. Kharchenko, *A combinatorial approach to the quantification of Lie algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **23** (2002), 191–233.

[KR] L.H. Kauffman and D.E. Radford, *A necessary and sufficient condition for a finite-dimensional Drinfel'd double to be a ribbon Hopf algebra*, J. Algebra **159** (1993), 98–114.

[L] B. Leclerc, *Dual canonical bases, quantum shuffles and q-characters*, Math. Z. **246** (4) (2004), 691–732.

[LR] M. Lalonde and A. Ram, *Standard Lyndon bases of Lie algebras and enveloping algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **347** (5) (1995), 1821–1830.

[LS] S. Z. Levendorskii and S. Soibelman, *Algebras of functions on compact quantum groups, Schubert cells and quantum tori*, Comm. Math. Phys., **139** (1991), 141–170.

[Lu] G. Lusztig, *Introduction to Quantum Groups*, Birkhäuser Boston, 1993.

[M] S. Montgomery, *Hopf Algebras and Their Actions on Rings*, CBMS Conf. Math. Publ., **82**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1993.

[Ro] M. Rosso, *Lyndon bases and the multiplicative formula for R-matrices*, (2002), preprint, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (to appear).

[RT] N.Yu. Reshetikhin and V.G. Turaev, *Ribbon graphs and their invariants derived from quantum groups*, Comm. Math. Phys. **127** (1990), 1–26.

[a] Department of Mathematics, SKLPMMP, East China Normal University, Min Hang Campus, Dong Chuan Road 500, Shanghai 200241, PR China

[b] Department of Mathematics, Ningbo University, Fenghua Road 818, Ningbo 315211, PR China

E-mail address: gauss_1024@126.com

[a] Department of Mathematics, SKLPMMP, East China Normal University, Min Hang Campus, Dong Chuan Road 500, Shanghai 200241, PR China

E-mail address: nhhu@math.ecnu.edu.cn

School of Mathematical Sciences and LPMC, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, PR China

E-mail address: xiulingwang@nankai.edu.cn